OPTIMAL DSST INPUT DECKS FOR VARIOUS ORBIT TYPES

Capt Daniel J. Fonte, Jr. Chris Sabol

June 1995

Final Report

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED.

19960215 031

ATTO QUALITY INTEGRAL &



PHILLIPS LABORATORY
Space and Missiles Technology Directorate
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NM 87117-5776

This final report was prepared by Phillips Laboratory, Kirtland Air Force Base, Job Order 8809TA01. The Laboratory Project Officer-in-Charge was Capt Dan Fonte, (VTA).

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely Government-related procurement, the United States Government incurs no responsibility or any obligation whatsoever. The fact that the Government may have formulated or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication, or otherwise in any manner construed, as licensing the holder, or any other person or corporation; or as conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been authored by an employee of the United States Government. Accordingly, the United States Government retains a nonexclusive royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the material contained herein, or allow others to do so, for the United States Government purposes.

This report has been reviewed by the Public Affairs Office and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from the mailing list, or if your organization no longer employs the addressee, please notify PL/VTA, 3550 Aberdeen Ave SE, Kirtland AFB, NM 87117-5776, to help maintain a current mailing list.

This report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

CAPT DANIEĽ J. FONTE, JR

Daniel Jonts &

Project Officer

SALVADOR ALFANO, Lt Col, USAF

Chief, Astrodynamics Division

FOR THE COMMANDER

HENRY L. PUGH, JR., Col, USAF

Director of Space and Missiles Technology

DO NOT RETURN COPIES OF THIS REPORT UNLESS CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS OR NOTICE ON A SPECIFIC DOCUMENT REQUIRES THAT IT BE RETURNED.

DRAFT SF 298

1. Report Date (dd June 1995	-mm-yy)	2. Rep Final	oort Type		3. Dates covered (from to) 7/94 to 6/95				
4. Title & subtitle Optimal DSST Inpu	r Vario	us Orbit Types	5a. Cor	5a. Contract or Grant #					
				5b. Pro	gram Elem	ent# 62601F			
6. Author(s)				5c. Pro	ject # 88	09			
Capt Daniel Fonte Chris Sabol	Jr.			5d. Tas	k# TA				
:				5e. Wo	rk Unit #	01			
7. Performing Org Phillips Laborator 3550 Aberdeen Av Kirtland AFB, NM	y ve SE		Address		8. Perform	ing Organization Report #			
9. Sponsoring/Mo	nitoring Ag	ency N	ame & Address		10. Monito	or Acronym			
					11. Monitor Report #				
13. Supplementar	y Notes					processors and the second seco			
various orbit type computation time geosynchronous Cowell truth mode	s. These in . Input dec orbit types el. Timing r	nput de ks for l are giv measur	cks are optimized ow, medium, and en. Accuracy me ements are obtai	I to balance the high altitude c etrics are deriv ned by a call to	e trade-off b ircular, nea ed from fits an interna	ic Satellite Theory (DSST) for petween accuracy and ar earth eccentric, Molniya, and is to simulated data generated by a I clock routine immediately prior is the testbed for this analysis.			
15. Subject Terms Artificial Satellites Satellite Theory, F	s, Orbit Pro								
Security Classific	-			19. Limiṭation of Abstract	20. # of Pages	21. Responsible Person (Name and Telephone #)			
16. Report Unclassified	Report 17. Abstract 18. This Page Unclassified Unclassified			Unlimited	108	Capt Daniel Fonte (505) 846-7991			

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Paul Cefola, Wayne McClain, and Ronald Proulx of the Charles Stark Draper Laboratory for their constructive comments throughout this effort. In addition, the support of Lt. Col. Sal Alfano and Maj. David Vallado (Division Chief and Deputy Division Chief, respectively) is clearly appreciated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTI	<u>on</u>	PAGE
	Summary	1
1.0	Introduction	2
2.0	Low Altitude Circular Case	2
3.0	Medium Altitude Circular Case	13
4.0	High Altitude Circular Case	22
5.0	Geosynchronous Case	28
6.0	Molniya Case	33
7.0	Near Earth Eccentric Case	39
8.0	Conclusions	45
9.0	Recommendations	45
10.0	References	45
	Appendices	デリッ おしわなり
	A.Optimized DSST Input Decks	48
	B.Optimal Deck Test Case Summaries	55
	LACC Test Case	56
	MACC Test Case	62
	HACC Test Case	68
•	GEO Test Case	75
•	MOLY Test Case	82
	NEEC Test Case	88
	Distribution List	95

LIST OF TABLES

SECTION		PAGE
Table 1	DSST Specifics to Build Osculating Elements, LACC	2
Table 2	Cowell Truth EG Inputs, LACC	3
Table 3	DSST DC Specifics, LACC	4
Table 4	DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, LACC	6
Table 5	Simulation of Real World Drag Uncertainties, LACC	12
Table 6	DSST Specifics to Build Osculating Elements, MACC	13
Table 7	Cowell Truth EG Inputs, MACC	13
Table 8	DSST DC Specifics, MACC	14
Table 9	DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, MACC	15
Table 10	DSST Harris-Priester DC and Subsequent EG Results I, MACC	20
Table 11	Simulation of Real World Drag Uncertainties, MACC	21
Table 12	Explorer Two-Card Element Set, HACC, September 1994 SATCAT	22
Table 13	Cowell DC and Subsequent EG Inputs, HACC	22
Table 14	DSST DC Specifics, HACC	23
Table 15	DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, HACC	25.
Table 16	BS 3A Two-Card Element Set, GEO, September 1994 SATCAT	28
Table 17	Cowell DC and Subsequent EG Inputs, GEO	29
Table 18	DSST DC Specifics, GEO	29
Table 19	DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, GEO	31
Table 20	Mean Element Set Used To Build Osculating Elements, MOLY (from Reference 14)	33
Table 21	Cowell Truth EG Inputs, MOLY	34
Table 22	DSST DC Specifics, MOLY	34
Table 23	DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, MOLY	36
Table 24	Vanguard 2 Two-Card Element Set, NEEC, September 1994 SATCAT	39
Table 25	Cowell DC and Subsequent EG Inputs, NEEC	39
Table 26	DSST DC Specifics, NEEC	40
Table 27	DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, NEEC	41

NOMENCLATURE

AAS American Astronautical Society

AIAA American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

AOG DSST Averaged Orbit Generator

B* SGP4 Drag Parameter

C_D Coefficient of Drag

cm Centimeters

C_R Solar Radiation Pressure Parameter

DC Differential Correction

deg Degrees

DSST Draper Semianalytic Satellite Theory

EG Ephemeris Generation

er Earth Radii

ETAS The Ephemeris Theory Accuracy Study

GEM Goddard Earth Model

GEO Geosynchronous Case

GRAV Refers to Geopotential Modelling in AOG (see page 5)

HACC High Altitude Circular Case

H-P Harris Priester Drag Model

JGM Joint Gravitational Model

J-R Jacchia-Roberts Drag Model

kg Kilograms

km Kilometers

LACC Low Altitude Circular Case

L-S Lunar/Solar Third Body Point Mass Effects

m Meter

MACC Medium Altitude Circular Case

MOLY Molniya Case

NEEC

Near Earth Eccentric Case

OD

Orbit Determination

ORB1

A GTDS File Containing Evenly Spaced, Time-Tagged Values of Position and Velocity

PC

Personal Computer

PL/VTA

Astrodynamics Division, Phillips Laboratory

R&D GTDS

Draper Laboratory's Version of the Goddard Trajectory Determination System

 R_e

Radius of the Earth

RESNM

GTDS Keyword to Include Resonant Terms in AOG (see page 5)

RESONPRD

GTDS Keyword to Adjust Modelling of Resonance Between AOG and SPG (see page 5)

RMS

Root Mean Square

SATCAT

Satellite Catalog

sec

Seconds

SMAH

Semimajor Axis Height (a - R_e)

SPG

DSST Short Periodic Orbit Generator

SRP

Solar Radiation Pressure

SPSHPER

Keyword in R&D GTDS for Global Short Periodic Selection

SSTAPGFL

Keyword to establish AOG partial derivatives for various force model options

SSTESTFL

Keyword to control the matrix partitioning of DSST partial derivatives (AOG & SPG)

TESS

Refers to the Tesseral Linear Combination Short Periodic Modelling in SPG (see page 5)

VOP

Variation of Parameters

WTD

Weak Time Dependence

Summary

Objectives: The objective of this research was to develop input configurations for the Draper Semianalytic Satellite Theory (DSST) which provide a balance between computational speed and ephemeris accuracy. This research follows VTA's efforts in 1994 to port the software system containing DSST (Draper Research and Development Goddard Trajectory Determination System, R&D GTDS) from a UNIX-based platform to a Windows/DOS environment (486 and Pentium). These input decks, which are required to properly run the software, have been developed for distribution along with a complete mathematical description of theory (the description was developed by the Naval Postgraduate School with assistance from Draper Laboratory). Optimal input decks were developed for low, medium, and high altitude circular, geosynchronous, Molniya, and near earth eccentric orbits.

Approach: In order to determine the proper configurations for the theory, various batch, differential correction fits to simulated observational data were performed. The results of these various fits were then compared in terms of accuracy and computational efficiency to operational orbit determination capabilities. Selection of appropriate input deck configurations was based on attaining speeds comparable to operational algorithms while maintaining at least a 5-10 fold increase in ephemeris accuracy.

Alternative Technologies: Various alternative technologies for orbit determination (OD) are available; these OD technologies can be compared based on the orbit propagation technique used. Classically, orbit propagation has been categorized as either numeric (very high accuracy with slow run times) or analytic (very fast with severely limited accuracy). DSST represents a hybrid approach which combines the advantageous aspects of both numeric and analytic theories (accuracies approaching that of numeric methods with speeds comparable to analytic techniques). DSST, developed by Dr. Paul Cefola and his colleagues at the Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, is the state of the art in American semianalytic techniques.

<u>Technical Challenges</u>: VTA has had to combat (1) a limited knowledge base concerning the cutting edge astrodynamic techniques of DSST and (2) a lack of knowledge of how to properly run the software with potential users.

Payoffs: DSST will be used by various research and operational based institutions. Currently, DSST is slated for operational use with the RADARSAT mission (work accomplished by Draper Laboratory), the Air Force Maui Optical Station (AMOS), and the STARFIRE facility. VTA has been using R&D GTDS to support operational orbit determination experiments for the past eight months. Specifically, dark pass satellite illumination has been accomplished. Kaman Sciences has been using the input decks for their Space Command supported Ephemeris Theory Accuracy Study. The Naval Postgraduate School has been using results generated with the input decks to increase Navy Space Command's understanding of semianalytic techniques.

<u>Results</u>: For the first time, input decks to balance computational speed and ephemeris accuracy have been documented. This gives the user with limited knowledge concerning the intricacies of DSST a better chance at *properly* running the software.

1.0 Introduction

Various studies in the past twenty years have demonstrated the accuracy, computational efficiency, and flexibility of DSST for a variety of orbit types¹⁻²⁴. These encouraging results have led to a proliferation of the theory to various academic and research based institutions. In most cases, DSST has been distributed as part of the larger scale R&D GTDS orbit determination system, which also includes the Cowell³², SGP²⁵, SGP4/ SDP4^{25,26,27}, HANDE²⁸, SALT^{29,30,31}, Brouwer Lyddane³², and Vinti³² orbit propagation theories (the addition of PPT2 to R&D GTDS is nearing completion as of the date of publication of this document). In addition to these orbit propagation theories, R&D GTDS includes estimation (batch & filter), early orbit determination, data simulation, and error analysis programs³². These programs give R&D GTDS the flexibility to compare the performance of various orbit propagation theories.

Recent efforts have unified DSST's theoretical documentation from a multitude of AIAA/AAS papers, journal articles, contract reports, laboratory memorandums, and working notes to a single document¹. The knowledge of how to *properly* run the software, however, has not been as easily grasped by the community. The addition of the Semianalytic Input Processor to the software has provided a tool which simplifies the configuration of DSST's SPG³³. This document sheds light on how to best use the tool.

Specifically, this paper contains a set of DSST input decks which balance accuracy and computational efficiency for a variety of orbit types. These configurations span the orbit classes established by Kaman Sciences in the Ephemeris Theory Accuracy Study (ETAS)⁵. These configurations can be inserted into the code for automatic set-up of the theory, or used in a standalone fashion by the traditional R&D GTDS user. The information in this paper, when coupled with the description of the theory¹, provides current and potential users with a concise package which supports R&D GTDS.

One final note before each specific orbit class is described in detail is that two separate machines were used for the generation of results herein (both a 486 and a Pentium 586 machine were used). Therefore, from case to case, timing statistics may not seem consistent; however, within one particular case, the results are consistent. In addition, most cases used a spacecraft cross-sectional area of 1 m² and a mass of 100 kg. Even though these values may not exactly match the parameters for the actual, "real-world" spacecraft, they were chosen to represent a standard area to mass ratio. Furthermore, appendices are attached which provide the information necessary to replicate test cases with the optimal input decks.

2.0 Low Altitude Circular Case (LACC)

An orbit very close to decay was chosen to fulfill the low altitude circular test case. In the ETAS study, the LACC is characterized by a SMAH range of 0 - 575 km and an eccentricity range from 0.0 to 0.05. For this test case, multiple runs were made in which varying configurations of DSST were fit to a Cowell truth model over a 90 minute arc. A 90 minute DSST EG with the DC solve-for parameters followed the fit span. Accuracy metrics were derived from comparisons between the DSST and Cowell trajectories over both the fit (DC RMS) and predict spans (from the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program). ORB1 output was produced every 450 seconds.

The osculating elements required for the Cowell truth EG were built from a one day DSST run with the following inputs:

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820223 000000.0
End Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820224 000000.0
Semimajor Axis (mean)	6635.0814 km
Eccentricity (mean)	0.010201164

Table 1. DSST Specifics to Build Osculating Elements, LACC

Table 1. DSST Specifics to Build Osculating Elements, LACC (Continued)

Inclination (mean)	64.9567 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node (mean)	228.6393 deg
Argument of Perigee (mean)	271.2229 deg
Mean Anomaly (mean)	88.164558 deg
Input Frame	True of Date
Integration and Output Frame	Earth Equator and
	Equinox of 1950
	(Mean of 1950)
Integrator	4th Order Runge-Kutta
DSST Step Size	43200.0 sec
Gravity Field	8x8 GEM10B
Lunar / Solar Point Masses	Yes
Drag Model	Jacchia-Roberts
	$C_D = 2.0$
Solar Radiation Pressure	No
Global Short Periodic Select	Low Altitude, Improved Accuracy Option (SPSHPER = 3)
Average Spacecraft Cross-Sectional Area	1.0 m ²
Spacecraft Mass	100 kg

The osculating elements which resulted from this one day DSST run were then used as inputs for a six day Cowell EG. The specifics for this Cowell EG are given in Table 2:

Table 2. Cowell Truth EG Inputs, LACC

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820224 000000.0
End Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820302 000000.0
Input, Integration, and Output Frame	Earth Equator and Equinox of 1950 (Mean of 1950)
Integrator	12th Order Summed Cowell/ Adams Predict-Partially Correct
Step Size	60.0 sec
Gravity Field	21x21 GEM10B
Lunar / Solar Point Masses	Yes

Table 2. Cowell Truth EG Inputs, LACC (Continued)

Drag Model	Jacchia-Roberts
Solar Radiation Pressure	C _D = 2.0
Average Spacecraft Cross-Sectional Area	$1.0\mathrm{m}^2$
Spacecraft Mass	100 kg

It can be noted in this table a six day Cowell EG was created, even thought the fit and predict spans totalled 180 minutes (in other words, more ORB1 data was generated than was required). This test procedure was established to provide enough data if subsequent testing with other fit and predict spans was desired. The output of this EG indicated the satellite would re-enter the atmosphere three days after the Cowell epoch (this particular test case reflects an orbit extremely close to decay). Due to the short remaining orbital lifetime for this satellite, it was determined that fit and predict spans on the order of the satellite's period should be used. This test protocol was deemed analogous to operational procedures for a satellite this close to re-entering the atmosphere.

The same initial guess of the state vector and observation noise standard deviation were used for all of the DSST DC runs. These values are highlighted in Table 3:

Table 3. DSST DC Specifics, LACC

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820224 000000.0				
Fit Span Begin YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820224 000000.0				
Fit Span End YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820224 013000.0				
Semimajor Axis (mean)	6628.457 km				
Eccentricity (mean)	0.0089				
Inclination (mean)	64.84 deg				
Longitude of Ascending Node (mean)	224.51 deg				
Argument of Perigee (mean)	271.89 deg				
Mean Anomaly (mean)	115.16 deg				
DSST Input, Integration, and Output Frame	Earth Equator and Equinox of 1950 (Mean of 1950)				
DSST Step Size	43200.0 sec				
Geopotential Model	GEM10B				
Position Standard Deviation	100 meters				
Velocity Standard Deviation	10 cm/sec				

In the DSST DC runs, varying configurations corresponding to different perturbation modeling were used to determine the optimal balance of accuracy and computational speed. Results of the DC's and subsequent EG's for the LACC are given in Table 4; however, it is first desirable to describe some of the notation used in this table (and other tables in this paper):

- GRAV refers to the geopotential modeling in the AOG.
- RESONPRD is a GTDS keyword for the input processor to override the default boundary for the modeling of tesseral resonance in the AOG (if the period of the resonance is less than 10 days in the default configuration, the resonance is modelled in the SPG as a tesseral linear combination high frequency term); by specifying a value with this keyword, a new boundary can be set to force the modeling of resonance into the AOG (i.e., for shallow resonance).
- RESNM is a GTDS keyword for the input processor to include resonant harmonics in the AOG. Typically, this card is used to augment a small "base" gravity field (i.e., 4x4) specified with MAXDEGEQ and MAXORDEQ cards with resonant harmonics beyond the "base" field (for example, the 16th order). It should be noted the RESNM card eliminates the need for a RESONPRD card; all harmonics specified with the RESNM card are forced into the AOG (even shallow resonance). For this particular case, an 8x8 base field was established and the RESNM card was used to include resonant effects at the 16th and 17th orders (an AUTOFORC card must be used with RESNM).
- TESS refers to the tesseral linear combination high frequency short periodic terms.
- SRP is an abbreviation for solar radiation pressure.
- J-R is an abbreviation for the Jacchia-Roberts atmospheric density model.
- H-P is an abbreviation for the Harris-Priester atmospheric density model.
- L-S is an abbreviation for lunar / solar third body point mass effects.
- "trunc" refers to truncated modeling; modeling descriptions corresponding to the R&D GTDS Semianalytic Satellite Theory input processor are specified where needed. Additional details can be found in the R&D GTDS Semianalytic Theory Input Processor keyword description booklet³³.
- Total Position RMS is derived over the 90 minute predict span only (from the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program).
- R&D GTDS default configuration settings are used unless specifically noted.
- "Iszak" refers to Iszak's J₂ height correction (a second order atmospheric drag effect included in AOG partials). All cases which attempt to solve for C_D include first order drag effects in the AOG partials (as well as Iszak's corrections if indicated, if C_D is not solved for, then all drag partials in the AOG are shut off). All cases include J₂ partials analytically in the AOG. No other AOG or SPG partials were included in any of the runs.
- Most cases have an SSTESTFL card of 1 3; this setting includes all desired AOG partials in the A matrix (J₂ partials are computed analytically; all other partial derivatives are computed via finite differences). In addition, this setting includes desired AOG partial derivatives for non-conservative perturbations in the D matrix via finite differences (these partials were also included in the A matrix). Cases noted by ** have an SSTESTFL card of 1 2; this setting puts only J₂ AOG partials into the A matrix (computed analytically). All other desired AOG partials for non-conservative perturbations are included in the D matrix via finite differences (with this setting, third body and J₂² AOG partial derivatives, if desired, would not be included anywhere; if they are desired, the SSTESTFL setting of 1 3 should be used).

Table 4. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, LACC

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
$21x21$ J_2^2 RESON PRD = 1 day	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R AOG SPG Solve C _D	No	L-S AOG SPG		DC DIVERO	BES
21x21 J₂² RESON PRD = 1 day	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R AOG SPG Iszak Solve C _D	No	L-S AOG SPG	54.62 sec	3.01 meters (6 its)	33.960 meters
21x21 J ₂ ²	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R AOG SPG Iszak Solve CD	No	L-S AOG SPG	54.33 sec	2.66 meters (6 its)	34.034 meters
21x21 J ₂ ²	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R AOG SPG Iszak Solve CD	No	No	53.83 sec	2.81 meters (6 its)	34.168 meters
21x21 J ₂ ²	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R AOG Iszak Solve C _D	No	No	45.36 sec	77.12 meters (4 its)	163.31 meters
21x21 J ₂ ²	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	46.26 sec	207.81 meters (5 its)	5238.7 meters

Table 4. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, LACC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	J-R	No	No	31.36	50.59	216.51
J ₂ ²						AOG			sec	meters	meters
						SPG				(5 its)	
						Iszak					
						Solve					
						C _D					
21x21	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	17.19	32.71	468.24
J ₂ ²						AOG			sec	meters	meters
						SPG				(5 its)	
		i I				Iszak					
				}		Solve					
						C _D					
21x21	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	35.53	50.16	309.45
J ₂ ²						AOG			sec	meters	meters
					•	SPG				(5 its)	
			1			Iszak		<u> </u>			
						Solve C _D					
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	39.16	3.62	31.292
J ₂ ²						AOG	İ		sec	meters	meters
						SPG	ł	 		(6 its)	
						Iszak		İ			
		·				Solve C _D					
12x12	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	21.64	8.39	87.282
J ₂ ²						AOG			sec	meters	meters
						SPG				(6 its)	
						Iszak					
						Solve					
					<u> </u>	c_{D}					

Table 4. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, LACC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
12x12	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	19.28	10.17	58.072
J ₂ ²	1		8842			AOG			sec	meters	meters
			-12 12			SPG	i 1			(6 its)	
				!		Iszak					
	·					Solve					
						$C_{\mathbf{D}}$					
12x12	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	16.81	22.16	198.45
J ₂ ²			4442			AOG			sec	meters	meters
			-88			SPG				(5 its)	
	:					Iszak					
						Solve			<u> </u>		
					<u> </u>	CD				10.00	50.001
8x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	17.56	18.30	58.201
J ₂ ²						AOG			sec	meters	meters
					•	SPG				(5 its)	
						Iszak					
						Solve C _D					
				37	No	J-R	No	No	16.80	17.40	65.710
8x8	Yes	Yes	6 6 4 2	Yes	NO	AOG	INO	140	sec	meters	meters
J ₂ ²			-10 10			SPG				(5 its)	
			-10 10			Iszak				(5 1.0)	
						Solve					
						C _D					
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	16.31	17.40	65.720
J_2^2	1		6642			AOG			sec	meters	meters
* *			-10 10			SPG				(6 its)	
						Iszak					
(opt. deck)						Solve					
						C_{D}			<u> </u>		

Table 4. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, LACC (Continued)

		t de la constant de									Total Position
GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	RMS (Predict Span)
8x8	trunc	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	16.91	18.25	60.651
J ₂ ²	424	ļ	6642	:		AOG			sec	meters	meters
			-10 10			SPG				(5 its)	
			1			Iszak	•				
						Solve C _D			-		
8x8	trunc	trunc	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	16.48	23.15	291.89
J ₂ ²	424	442	6642			AOG			sec	meters	meters
			-10 10			SPG				(5 its)	
						Iszak		i.			
						Solve C _D					
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	16.42	30.89	123.48
J ₂ ²			4442			AOG			sec	meters	meters
			-88	4. 4. 1		SPG				(5 its)	
		1		**		Iszak	. ' '				
						Solve					
						C _D					
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	15.87	34.75	211.94
J ₂ ²			2242			AOG			sec	meters	meters
			-66			SPG		}		(5 its)	
						Iszak					
						Solve C _D					
						 	<u></u>	1 27	15.00	24.76	212.44
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	15.98 sec	34.76 meters	212.44 meters
J ₂ ²			-66			AOG SPG			366	(5 its)	meters
			-00			Solve				(3 16)	
						C _D					
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	15.98	35.28	209.94
J_2^2			2242			AOG			sec	meters	meters
16th			-66			SPG				(5 its)	
order RESNM						Iszak					
						Solve C _D					
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		

Table 4. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, LACC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	16.20	34.90	214.43
J ₂ ²			2242			AOG			sec	meters	meters
16 &			-66			SPG				(5 its)	
17 th						Iszak					
order RESNM			1			Solve					
						C _D					
6x6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	16.64	15.22	143.55
J ₂ ²				:		AOG			sec	meters	meters
						SPG				(5 its)	
						Iszak					
					'	Solve					
						C _D				27.02	06.061
6x6	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	16.14	27.83	86.261
J ₂ ²			4442			AOG			sec	meters	meters
			-88			SPG				(5 its)	
						Iszak					
						Solve C _D					
66	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	15.60	36.55	364.52
6x6 J₂ ²	ies	168	2242	165	INO	AOG	110	110	sec	meters	meters
J ₂	Ì		-66			SPG				(5 its)	
						Iszak					
						Solve					
						C_{D}					
4x4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	J-R	No	No	16.09	22.46	332.57
J ₂ ²						AOG			sec	meters	meters
]	SPG				(5 its)	
		1				Iszak					
						Solve					
						c_{D}					

Table 4. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, LACC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
	Spa	ice Comr	13.29	1182.50	12605.0						
	•								sec	meters	meters
										(4 its)	
	Spa	ce Comn	nand's SC	P4 (solv	e for drag	term ndo	ot/2)		13.35	138.64	505.19
1				•					sec	meters	meters
										(5 its)	

The results in Table 4 enforce some important concepts for low earth orbits. First, large effects stem from atmospheric drag, tesseral m-dailies, and tesseral linear combination short periodics. In fact, attempting to even slightly truncate the drag or m-daily modeling greatly impacts the accuracy. On the contrary, these results indicate the tesseral linear combination short periodic modeling can be truncated to vastly improve the computation time with an acceptable degradation of accuracy (for most applications).

Specifically, the following errors can be noted for a one revolution predict:

- removing drag entirely results in ~ 5 km error
- drag short periodics ~ 130 m error
- tesseral m-dailies ~ 300 m error
- removing all tesseral linear combination short periodic terms ~ 200 m error

These results also indicate the J_2 / m-daily model serves to provide accuracy closure with Cowell, but at an expense of computation time. For reasons of simplicity and computational time savings, this model can be neglected in an optimized DSST configuration.

Third body effects were determined to not significantly impact these orbits. Specifically, the inclusion of these effects does not dramatically improve accuracy or greatly increase computer run time. For reasons of simplicity, their effects can also be neglected in an optimized DSST configuration for this orbit type.

Several comments can be made about the short nature of these runs (90 minute fit and predict spans). Short fit spans can lead to "observability" problems when the DC attempts to solve for drag terms (or any other desired solve for parameter, i.e. station biases). These "observability" problems result because the DC can not sample or observe a large arc of the satellite's trajectory, which makes it difficult to discern or separate the various contributions to the satellite's motion. For analytic theories, these observability problems are compounded by their limited physical force models. To deal with observability problems or a lack of physical force models, longer fit spans are usually employed; however, longer fit spans may not be practical or even possible for decay orbits. For these reasons, only complete perturbation theories should be used to process decay orbits. It should also be mentioned the short nature of these runs negated the benefit of special shallow resonance processing for the truncated geopotential cases (i.e., RESONPRD and RESNM).

As the Approach section of the Summary on page 1 states, the selection of appropriate input deck configurations was based on attaining speeds comparable to operational algorithms while maintaining at least a 5-10 fold increase in ephemeris accuracy. The results for this particular test case and test methodology indicate that several of the DSST configurations very nearly meet this criteria (the timing statistics fall short of the desired criteria by 20-30 percent).

Testing was done to try to simulate real-world uncertainties with atmospheric drag. In these tests, a Jacchia-Roberts drag model was used to generate a Cowell truth EG. Then, a Harris-Priester DSST configuration was fit over a 90 minute arc to the Jacchia-Roberts Cowell truth trajectory (in these cases, either the default Harris-Priester table with F#=150 or the "hottest" table with F#=275 in R&D GTDS was used). Again, a 90 minute predict span followed the fit span, with accuracy metrics derived over both the fit (DC RMS) and predict spans (R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program). The results of this testing are presented in Table 5:

Table 5. Simulation of Real World Drag Uncertainties, LACC

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	H-P	No	No	15.87	16.29	150.97
J_2^2			6642			AOG			sec	meters	meters
(opt.			-10 10			SPG				(6 its)	
deck)						Iszak					
**						Solve C _D F#=275					
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	H-P	No	No	15.73	24.68	311.96
J_2^2			6642			AOG			sec	meters	meters
(opt.			-10 10	:		SPG				(6 its)	
deck)						Iszak	. '.				
**						Solve C _D F#=150					

Note the configurations listed in Table 5 are designated as "opt. deck" even though they use the Harris-Priester atmospheric model (the optimal configuration uses the Jacchia-roberts atmospheric model). This designation was given because all geopotential, partial derivative, solve-for, and force model options for the AOG and SPG match the optimal configuration (even though the particular atmospheric model may be different).

The setup for the LACC which provides an optimal balance between accuracy and computational speed can now be given (opt. deck):

- 8x8 geopotential (AOG)
- J_2^2 (AOG and SPG)
- · Zonal short periodics with default settings
- M-daily short periodics with default settings
- Truncated tesseral linear combination high frequency short periodics (SPTESSLC = 6 6 4 2 -10 10)
- Jacchia-Roberts drag (AOG and SPG)
- Solve C_D
- SSTESTFL 1 2 0 0.0
- SSTAPGFL 1 0 0 1.0 6.0 0.0

Sample input decks for the LACC are given in the appendices.

3.0 Medium Altitude Circular Case (MACC)

A Landsat type orbit was chosen to fulfill the medium altitude circular orbit test case. In the ETAS study, the MACC is characterized by a SMAH range of 575 - 1000 km and an eccentricity range from 0.0 to 0.05. For this test case, multiple runs were made in which varying configurations of DSST were fit to a Cowell truth model over a three day arc. A three day DSST EG with the DC solve-for parameters followed the fit span. Accuracy metrics were derived from comparisons between the DSST and Cowell trajectories over both the fit (DC RMS) and predict spans (from the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program). ORB1 output was produced every 450 seconds (a 45 minute time interval was used in the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program).

The osculating elements required for the Cowell truth EG were built from a one day DSST run with the following inputs:

Table 6. DSST Specifics to Build Osculating Elements, MACC

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820223 000000.0
End Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820224 000000.0
Semimajor Axis (mean)	7077.787 km
Eccentricity (mean)	0.011542
Inclination (mean)	98.250452 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node (mean)	158.15349 deg
Argument of Perigee (mean)	89.4 deg
Mean Anomaly (mean)	312.90205 deg
Input Frame	True of Date
Integration and Output Frame	Earth Equator and
	Equinox of 1950
	(Mean of 1950)
Integrator	4th Order Runge-Kutta
DSST Step Size	43200.0 sec
Gravity Field	8x8 GEM10B
Lunar / Solar Point Masses	Yes
Drag Model	No

The osculating elements which resulted from this one day DSST run were then used as inputs for a six day Cowell EG. The specifics for this Cowell EG are given in Table 7:

Table 7. Cowell Truth EG Inputs, MACC

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820224 000000.0
End Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820302 000000.0

Table 7. Cowell Truth EG Inputs, MACC (Continued)

Input, Integration, and Output Frame	Earth Equator and
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Equinox of 1950
	(Mean of 1950)
Integrator	12th Order Summed Cowell/ Adams Predict-Partially Correct
Step Size	60.0 sec
Gravity Field	21x21 GEM10B
Lunar / Solar Point Masses	Yes
Drag Model	Jacchia-Roberts
_	$C_D = 2.0$
Solar Radiation Pressure	No
Average Spacecraft Cross-Sectional Area	1.0 m ²
Spacecraft Mass	100 kg

The same initial guess of the state vector and observation noise standard deviation were used for all of the DSST DC runs. These values are highlighted in the following table:

Table 8. DSST DC Specifics, MACC

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820224 000000.0
Fit Span Begin YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820224 000000.0
Fit Span End YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	820227 000000.0
Semimajor Axis (mean)	7077.8 km
Eccentricity (mean)	0.0011
Inclination (mean)	98.2 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node (mean)	158.1 deg
Argument of Perigee (mean)	89.4 deg
Mean Anomaly (mean)	176.0 deg
DSST Input Frame	True of Date
DSST Integration and Output Frame	Earth Equator and Equinox of 1950 (Mean of 1950)
DSST Step Size	43200.0 sec
Geopotential Model	GEM10B
Position Standard Deviation	100 meters
Velocity Standard Deviation	10 cm/sec

In the DSST DC runs, varying configurations corresponding to different perturbation modeling were used to determine the optimal balance of accuracy and computational speed. Results of the DC's and subsequent EG's for the MACC are given in Table 9; again, it is first desirable to describe some of the notation used in this table (and other tables in this section):

- GRAV refers to the geopotential modeling in the AOG.
- RESONPRD is a GTDS keyword for the input processor to override the default border for the modeling of tesseral resonance in the AOG (if the period of the resonance is less than 10 days in the default configuration, the resonance is modelled in the SPG as a tesseral linear combination high frequency term); by specifying a value with this keyword, a new border can be set to force the modeling of resonance into the AOG (i.e., for shallow resonance).
- TESS refers to the tesseral linear combination high frequency short periodic terms.
- SRP is an abbreviation for solar radiation pressure.
- J-R is an abbreviation for the Jacchia-Roberts atmospheric density model.
- H-P is an abbreviation for the Harris-Priester atmospheric density model.
- L-S is an abbreviation for lunar / solar third body point mass effects.
- trunc refers to truncated modeling; specifically, the maximum power of the eccentricity was set to 2 and the maximum frequency in true longitude was set to 11 in Fourier coefficients for these short periodic expansions³³.
- Total Position RMS is derived over the three day predict span only (from the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program).
- All cases which attempt to solve for C_D include first order drag effects in the AOG partials (if C_D is not solved
 for, then all drag partials in the AOG are shut off). All cases include J₂ partials analytically in the AOG. No
 other AOG or SPG partials were included in any of the runs.
- Most cases have an SSTESTFL card of 1 3; this setting includes all desired AOG partials in the A matrix (J₂ partials are computed analytically; all other partial derivatives are computed via finite differences). In addition, this setting includes desired AOG partial derivatives for non-conservative perturbations in the D matrix via finite differences (these partials were also included in the A matrix). Cases noted by ** have an SSTESTFL card of 1 2; this setting puts only J₂ AOG partials into the A matrix (computed analytically). All other desired AOG partials for non-conservative perturbations are included in the D matrix via finite differences (with this setting, third body and J₂² AOG partial derivatives, if desired, would not be included anywhere; if they are desired, the SSTESTFL setting of 1 3 should be used).

Table 9. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, MACC

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21 J ₂ ²	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R AOG SPG	No	L-S AOG SPG	232.83 sec	23.50 meters (6 its)	248.76 meters
21x21 J₂ ² RESON PRD = 1 day	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R AOG SPG	No	L-S AOG SPG	311.6 sec	9.38 meters (9 its)	260.40 meters

Table 9. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, MACC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R	No	L-S	674.48	13.18	212.13
J ₂ ²	:	:				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG		SPG		(23 its)	
						Solve C _D					
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R	No	L-S	317.14	9.20	236.57
J_2^2	103	103	103		100	AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON					<u>†</u> ‡	SPG		SPG		(9 its)	
PRD=						Solve					
l day						C_{D}					
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R	No	L-S	310.44	8.28	259.63
J ₂ ²						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON						SPG		SPG		(9 its)	
PRD = 2 days											
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R	No	L-S	393.10	7.75	241.01
J ₂ ²						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters ·
RESON	Í				ļ	SPG		SPG		(12 its)	
PRD = 2 days						Solve					
						C _D					
4x4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R	No	L-S	47.29	123.48	342.54
J ₂ ²						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG		SPG		(7 its)	
4x4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R	No	L-S	52.98	117.47	386.02
J_2^2						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG		SPG		(8 its)	
						Solve					
			ļ			C _D			70.70	71.16	261.57
8x8	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes ·	J-R	No	L-S	72.72	71.15	261.57
J ₂ ²						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG		SPG		(7 its)	
						Solve C _D					
	<u> </u>		<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	۳- ا	<u>L.</u>	1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Table 9. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, MACC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	J-R	No	L-S	46.68	92.70	279.60
J ₂ ²						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG		SPG		(7 its)	
						Solve C _D					
00	Vor	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	No	L-S	41.47	92.96	277.11
8x8	Yes	ies	140	163	No	AOG	110	AOG	sec	meters	meters
J ₂ ²						SPG		SPG		(7 its)	
						Solve C _D					
00	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	No	L-S	40.26	92.95	276.77
8x8 J ₂ ²	168	168	140	103	1	AOG	110	AOG	sec	meters	meters
J ₂						Solve		SPG		(7 its)	
						C _D					
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	Yes	No	J-R	No	L-S	39.33	92.95	276.77
J ₂ ²	8211	882				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
					1	Solve		SPG		(7 its)	
						C _D					,
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	Yes	No	J-R	No	L-S	38.06	92.92	276.70
J ₂ ²	8211	882				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
						Solve				(7 its)	
						CD		<u> </u>	ļ		
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	J-R	No	L-S	33.83	97.50	259.80
J ₂ ²	8 2 11	882				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
										(7 its)	
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	J-R	No	L-S	37.08	93.06	278.26
J_2^2	8 2 11	882				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
						Solve C _D				(7 its)	
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	J-R	No	L-S	34.28	93.06	278.30
J ₂ ²	8 2 11	882				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
* *						Solve C _D				(7 its)	

Table 9. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, MACC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21	trunc	trune	No	No	No	J-R	No	L-S	35.31	76.51	196.85
J ₂ ² * * RESON PRD = I day (opt. deck)	8 2 11	882				AOG Solve C _D		AOG	sec	meters (7 its)	meters
	Space Command's SGP4 (solve for drag term ndot/2)									581.68 meters (5 its)	1412.3 meters
	Space Command's SGP4 (do not solve for drag term ndot/2) $B* = 0.0001 \text{ er}^{-1}$										17876.0 meters

The results in this table indicate that when fitting an optimized version of DSST to simulated data for the MACC, solving for C_D appears to make the results worse. However, solving for C_D in the "full-up" DSST cases leads to results which are more accurate than in the analogous case in which C_D is not solved for. This phenomena can be accredited to the decreased modeling in the optimized DSST configuration. Specifically, error characteristics resulting from perturbations other than atmospheric drag may bias the drag effect. For example, the drag model in DSST may attempt to account for error signatures in the semimajor axis which stem from un-modeled tesseral resonance; the reduced modeling makes it more difficult for the OD system to separate which source perturbing effects are coming from. If C_D is not solved for in these cases, its value defaults to the same value used in the generation of the truth ephemeris; hence, better results can be expected in these controlled experiments if C_D is not solved for. In real world analysis, the actual value of C_D may not be known very accurately, which necessitates a solution for C_D .

Clearly, the modeling of the tesseral short periodics can be truncated to greatly reduce the computer run time. In fact, for the 8x8 case in which the tesseral short periodics have been turned off, a 36% savings in computer run time is gained at the expense of an approximate 20 meter fit and predict difference (as compare to the 8x8 case with a full tesseral short periodic model). Similarly, the zonal and m-daily short periodic modeling can be slightly truncated to benefit the computer run time without a significant loss of accuracy. Third body and drag short periodics can be removed to enhance run time with no degradation in accuracy.

Both the J_2^2 and the J_2 / m-daily model serve to provide accuracy closure with Cowell, but at an expense of computation time. For reasons of simplicity and computational time savings, these models can be neglected in an optimized DSST configuration.

The period of resonance for the 14th order is less than two days (approximately 1.84 days), while the period of resonance for the 15th order is less than three days (approximately 2.18 days). Therefore, running R&D GTDS without a RESONPRD card to change the default resonance border from ten days would force the shallow resonance terms at these orders into the tesseral linear combination model of the SPG. A RESONPRD card setting the resonance border at one day forces the shallow resonance terms at both the 14th and 15th orders into the AOG. Using RESONPRD with a value of two days only puts the 15th order shallow resonance into the AOG. As is shown in the results using a "full" 21x21 geopotential field, modeling resonance in the AOG provides for modest improvements in fit span accuracy over modeling resonance in the SPG. However, improvements in predict span accuracy are not necessarily gained. With the optimized version of DSST, almost 100 meters worth of improvement in predict accuracy is gained by including the resonant terms at the 14th and 15th orders in the AOG (as opposed to neglecting these terms with an optimized version of DSST using an 8x8 base gravity field. It should be noted some of this improvement can be attributed to the increased AOG zonal modeling which results from the method used to include the shallow resonance terms--refer to the following paragraph for details of this method). As a general rule of thumb, it is probably better to have resonance modeled in the AOG due to the nature of the theory. In this manner, resonance is captured in the mean equations of motion and handled numerically (which tends to provide better treatment of the non-linearities and large magnitudes associated with resonance).

It is of particular importance to use extreme care when running an optimized version of the DSST. For example, if the tesseral linear combination high frequency short periodics have been truncated or removed for an orbit in which shallow resonance occurs, resonance terms will be totally neglected (assuming a resonant period < 10 days and no adjustment with the RESONPRD card). In these cases, the user must experiment with the AUTOFORC and RESNM cards or the RESONPRD card and hand calculation of the resonant periods to ensure the appropriate resonance modeling occurs (which can become quite tedious). As an alternative, the user can specify a 21x21 gravity field with truncated or no tesseral linear combination short periodics and a RESONPRD of one day. This would include all shallow resonant terms (and obviously deep resonant terms) with a period greater than one day into the AOG at the expense of additional secular and long period zonal calculations in the AOG. This configuration, which is used in the MACC optimal deck, is much simpler than experimenting with the AUTOFORC and RESNM cards or hand calculation of resonant period (which is not practical for operational scenarios with multiple satellites). For example, an 8x8 base gravity field could have been established for the MACC along with AUTOFORC and RESNM cards to include all the resonant terms at the 14th and 15th order (which requires 1 AUTOFORC card and 15 RESNM cards). This configuration would not introduce any secular and long period zonal calculations in the AOG beyond the 8x8 base gravity field. However, for only a 3% increase in computer run time (35.31 seconds versus 34.28 seconds), the 21x21 configuration with a RESONPRD of one day can be used (which does introduce secular and long period zonal calculations in the AOG beyond the 8x8 base gravity field up to the 21x21 limit) and remove the introduction of 16 R&D GTDS keyword cards. This example shows the generality and efficiency with which the recursions handle the calculation of zonal effects in the AOG (it should be noted the same recursion concept is used for all portions of the geopotential in the AOG and SPG).

This general handling of resonant terms becomes extremely useful when considering multiple satellites under the broad definition of the MACC. Instead of introducing costly "man-in-the-loop" calculations, this general configuration efficiently captures resonant terms (shallow and deep) for all orbits in the MACC (up to the 21x21 limit; note that the same general concept can be used with the 50x50 version of R&D GTDS).

The initial drag results led to some further processing with the Harris Priester drag model. In contrast to the Jacchia-Roberts drag model, the Harris-Priester model can be considered "static" in nature. The "dynamic" Jacchia-Roberts model implements "observed" or predicted values for drag-related parameters (solar flux and geomagnetic data). The Harris-Priester model implements established "look-up" tables to obtain drag-related parameters (altitude versus density). In this manner, the Harris-Priester model presents a "smoother" or more stable picture of the atmosphere. It should be noted various Harris-Priester density tables have been constructed to correspond to different levels of activity in the atmosphere.

Results for a full-up Harris-Priester DSST fit to a Harris-Priester Cowell truth model are given in Table 10 (all test procedures for items other than atmospheric drag are identical to the other test procedures described in this section):

Table 10. DSST Harris-Priester DC and Subsequent EG Results I, MACC

GRÁV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	H-P	No	L-S	230.14	16.20	21.218
J ₂ ²						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
					·	SPG		SPG		(6 its)	
						F#=150					
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	H-P	No	L-S	283.36	2.97	4.7777
J ₂ ²						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON						SPG		SPG		(8 its)	
PRD =						F#=150					
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	H-P	No	L-S	358.83	7.64	280.20
J_2^2						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG		SPG		(11 its)	
						Solve C _D F#=150					
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	H-P	No	L-S	285.00	2.96	9.049
J ₂ ²						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON						SPG		SPG		(8 its)	
PRD =						Solve					
						CD					
						F#=150]		<u> </u>		

As expected, the results in this table show the static Harris-Priester model provides for a tighter DSST fit to a Cowell truth model (stressing the difficulties which arise due to the dynamic, "noisy" nature of the atmosphere; DCs with the Jacchia-Roberts atmospheric model did not provide the same tight closure as with the "smooth" Harris Priester model. Real world analysis of atmospheric effects proves quite challenging due to the noisy and uncertain nature of atmospheric conditions, especially for orbital predictions into the future). Of particular importance in these results is the accuracy improvement gained by modeling resonance in the AOG in cases in which $C_{\rm D}$ is solved for .

Some testing was also done to try and simulate real-world uncertainties with atmospheric drag. In these tests, a Jacchia-Roberts drag model was used to generate a Cowell truth EG. Then, a Harris-Priester DSST configuration was fit over a three day arc to the Jacchia-Roberts Cowell truth trajectory. Again, a three day predict span followed the fit span, with accuracy metrics derived over both the fit (DC RMS) and predict spans (R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program). The results of this testing are presented in Table 11:

Table 11. Simulation of Real World Drag Uncertainties, MACC

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Fit + Predict)
21x21	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	H-P	No	L-S	40.37	764.30	15754.0
J ₂ ²	8211	882				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
**	:					F#=275	•			(12 its)	
RESON PRD = 1 day											
21x21	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	H-P	No	L-S	35.04	78.98	469.52
J ₂ ²	8 2 11	882				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
* *						Solve C _D				(7 its)	
RESON						F#=275					
PRD =						"					
1 day											
(opt. deck)				174	•	1.22				i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
21x21	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	H-P	No	L-S	34.77	80.06	636.23
J ₂ ²	8 2 11	882				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
**						Solve C _D				(7 its)	
RESON						F#=150			}		
PRD =							Į.				
1 day											
(opt. deck)											

Note the configurations listed in Table 11 are designated as "opt. deck" even though they use the Harris-Priester atmospheric model (the optimal configuration uses the Jacchia-roberts atmospheric model). This designation was given because all geopotential, partial derivative, solve-for, and force model options for the AOG and SPG match the optimal configuration (even though the particular atmospheric model may be different).

The setup for the MACC which provides an optimal balance between accuracy and computational speed can now be given:

- 21x21 geopotential (AOG)
- RESONPRD equal to one day (86400.0 seconds)
- J₂² (AOG)
- Truncated zonal short periodic modeling (the maximum power of the eccentricity was set to 2 and the maximum frequency in true longitude was set to 11 in Fourier coefficients for these short periodic expansions)

- Truncated tesseral m-daily short periodic modeling (maximum power of the eccentricity was set to 2 in Fourier Coefficients for these short periodic expansions)
- Jacchia-Roberts drag (AOG)
- Solve C_D
- Lunar / solar third body point mass effects (AOG)
- SSTESTFL 1 2 0 0.0
- SSTAPGFL 1 0 0 1.0 0.0 0.0

Sample input decks for the MACC are given in the appendices.

4.0 High Altitude Circular Case (HACC)

The Explorer 27 (BE-C) orbit was chosen to fulfill the high altitude circular test case. In the ETAS study, the HACC is characterized by a SMAH range of 1000 - 2500 km and an eccentricity range from 0.0 to 0.05. For this test case, multiple runs were made in which varying configurations of DSST were fit to a Cowell truth model over a two day arc. A three day DSST EG with the DC solve-for parameters followed the fit span. Accuracy metrics were derived from comparisons between the DSST and Cowell trajectories over both the fit (DC RMS) and predict spans (from the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program). ORB1 output was produced every 60 seconds for the Cowell truth generation (a 45 minute time interval was used in the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program).

The osculating elements required for the Cowell truth EG were derived from a five day Cowell fit to an SGP4 ephemeris. The two card element set used to generate the SGP4 ephemeris is given in Table 12:

Table 12. Explorer Two-Card Element Set, HACC, September 1994 SATCAT

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940826 074853.5101
Mean Motion	13.37418275 rev/day
Eccentricity	0.0247108
Inclination	41.1904 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node	349.3346 deg
Argument of Perigee	66.5461 deg
Mean Anomaly	296.1065 deg
B*	0.000091 er ⁻¹
ORB1 Output Frequency	Every 450.0 Seconds

The specifics for the Cowell DC and subsequent EG are given in Table 13:

Table 13. Cowell DC and Subsequent EG Inputs, HACC

940826 074853.5101
940826 074854.0000
940831 074854.0000
940903 074854.0000

Table 13. Cowell DC and Subsequent EG Inputs, HACC (Continued)

Input, Integration, and Output Frame	Earth Equator and
	Equinox of 1950
	(Mean of 1950)
Integrator	12th Order Summed Cowell/ Adams Predict-Partially Correct
Step Size	60.0 sec
Gravity Field	21x21 JGM2
Lunar / Solar Point Masses	Yes
Drag Model	Jacchia-Roberts-Schatten
	(Solve ρ_1)
Solar Radiation Pressure	Yes
	(Solve C _R)
Average Spacecraft Cross-Sectional Area	1.0 m ²
Spacecraft Mass	100 kg
Position Standard Deviation	500 meters
Velocity Standard Deviation	50 cm/sec
ORB1 Output Frequency	Every 60.0 Seconds

The same initial guess of the state vector and observation noise standard deviation were used for all of the DSST DC runs. These values are highlighted in Table 14:

Table 14. DSST DC Specifics, HACC

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940826 074853.5101
Fit Span Begin YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	940826 074854.0
Fit Span End YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	940828 074854.0
Semimajor Axis (mean)	7498.0 km
Eccentricity (mean)	0.0247108
Inclination (mean)	41.1904 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node (mean)	349.3346 deg
Argument of Perigee (mean)	66.5461 deg
Mean Anomaly (mean)	296.1065 deg
DSST Input Frame	True of Date
DSST Integration and Output Frame	Earth Equator and
	Equinox of 1950
	(Mean of 1950)

Table 14. DSST DC Specifics, HACC (Continued)

DSST Step Size	43200.0 sec
Geopotential Model	JGM2
Position Standard Deviation	100 meters
Velocity Standard Deviation	10 cm/sec
ORB1 Output Frequency	Every 450.0 Seconds

In the DSST DC runs, varying configurations corresponding to different perturbation modeling were used to determine the optimal balance of accuracy and computational speed. Results of the DC's and subsequent EG's for the HACC are given in Table 15; however, it is first desirable to highlight a few notes concerning the test protocol for the HACC:

- Total Position RMS is derived over the three day predict span only (R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program).
- R&D GTDS default configuration settings are used unless specifically noted.
- RES refers to the inclusion of the 13th and 14th order shallow resonance terms with the AUTOFORC and RESNM keyword cards.
- "trunc" refers to truncated modeling; modeling descriptions corresponding to the R&D GTDS Semianalytic Satellite Theory input processor are specified where needed. Additional details can be found in the R&D GTDS Semianalytic Theory Input Processor keyword description booklet³³.
- All cases which attempt to solve for C_D include first order drag effects in the AOG partials (if C_D is not solved for, then all drag partials in the AOG are shut off). Similarly, all cases which attempt to solve for C_R include solar radiation pressure effects in the AOG partials (if C_R is not solved for, then all solar radiation pressure partials in the AOG are shut off). All cases include J₂ partials analytically in the AOG. No other AOG or SPG partials were included in any of the runs.
- Most cases have an SSTESTFL card of 1 3; this setting includes all desired AOG partials in the A matrix (J₂ partials are computed analytically; all other partial derivatives are computed via finite differences). In addition, this setting includes desired AOG partial derivatives for non-conservative perturbations in the D matrix via finite differences (these partials were also included in the A matrix). Cases noted by ** have an SSTESTFL card of 1 2; this setting puts only J₂ AOG partials into the A matrix (computed analytically). All other desired AOG partials for non-conservative perturbations are included in the D matrix via finite differences (with this setting, third body and J₂² AOG partial derivatives, if desired, would not be included anywhere; if they are desired, the SSTESTFL setting of 1 3 should be used).

Table 15. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, HACC

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	J-R	AOG	L-S	1165.3	2.77	74.141
J ₂ ²						AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON	·					SPG	Solve	SPG		(11 its)	
PRD =						Solve C _D	C _R				
21x21	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	203.45	13.93	76.522
J_2^2			6642			AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON			-10 10			SPG	Solve	SPG		(6 its)	
PRD =						Solve C _D	C _R				
21x21	Yes	Yes	trunc	·Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	156.76	20.79	71.134
J_2^2			4442			AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON			-88			SPG	Solve	SPG		(5 its)	
PRD =						Solve C _D	C _R				Andrew Jac
21x21	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	144.56	39.88	66.637
J ₂ ²						AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON						SPG	Solve	SPG		(6 its)	
PRD =						Solve C _D	C _R				
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	125.23	52.60	149.71
J_2^2						AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG	Solve	SPG		(6 its)	
						Solve C _D	C _R		·	ļ	
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	No	L-S	103.81	58.77	332.22
J_2^2						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
-4						SPG		SPG		(4 its)	
						Solve					
						C _D					

Table 15. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, HACC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-dally SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	102.21	61.05	392.25
J ₂ ²						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG		SPG		(4 its)	
						Solve C _D					
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	102.64	53.19	155.03
J ₂ ²						AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG	C_R	SPG		(4 its)	
						Solve C _D					
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	98.03	53.38	151.55
J ₂ ²							Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
							$C_{\mathbf{R}}$	SPG		(4 its)	
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	96.29	53.39	151.69
J ₂ ²							Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
							C_R			(4 its)	
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	94.58	53.39	151.65
J ₂ ²	8 2 11	882					Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
		•					$C_{\mathbf{R}}$			(4 its)	
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	No	AOG	L-S	92.89	53.59	151.41
J ₂ ²	8211	882					Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
,							$C_{\mathbf{R}}$			(4 its)	
6x6	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	No	AOG	L-S	91.18	80.34	285.94
J ₂ ²	6211	662					Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
,							C _R			(4 its)	
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	No	AOG	L-S	92.39	48.53	111.23
J ₂ ²	8 2 11	882					Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RES							C _R			(4 its)	
21x21	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	No	AOG	L-S	96.83	48.71	81.619
J ₂ ²	8211	882					Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON							C _R			(4 its)	
PRD =											
Luay	L		<u> </u>	<u> </u>]	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	L	

Table 15. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, HACC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	No	AOG	L-S	97.10	48.71	81.693
J_2^2 RESON PRD = 1 day	8 2 11	882					Solve C _R	AOG	sec	meters (4 its)	meters
* * 1/5 day step size											
21x21	trunc	trunc	No	No	No	No	AOG	L-S	93.12	48.71	81.619
J_2^2 RESON PRD = 1 day	8211	882		,			Solve C _R	AOG	sec	meters (4 its)	meters
* * (opt. deck)					ş.						jet 1355 Majorite 196
	Space Command's SGP4 (solve for drag term ndot/2)									415.75	1752.9
											meters
	Space	Comman	d's SGP4	(do not s	olve for o	lrag term	ndot/2)		84.32	422.17	694.49
			B*=	0.00009	l er ⁻¹		···		sec	meters (4 its)	meters

The results in Table 15 indicate the importance of modeling solar radiation pressure in the AOG and solving for C_R (~200 meters over the three day predict). In addition, the impact of higher degree and order zonal and tesseral m-daily terms, as well as shallow tesseral resonance terms, can be clearly seen; specifically, neglecting the contributions from these effects above the 8x8 field (up to the 21x21 gravity model limit used in this testing) more than doubles the predict error. Furthermore, at altitudes characteristic of this orbit, the effects of atmospheric drag and third body short periodics are negligible, while SRP short periodics are on the order of 5 meters. Finally, the tesseral high frequency short periodic, J_2^2 short periodic, and J_2 / m-daily short periodic terms serve to provide accuracy closure with Cowell, but are computationally expensive. For these orbits, their effects can be neglected.

Again, it is of particular importance to use extreme care when running an optimized version of the DSST. This particular orbit does experience shallow resonance effects. In the cases where only an 8x8 gravity field (AOG) has been included, the resonant effects were neglected. In order to capture these effects, one DSST case implemented the "RES" modeling (use of the RESNM and AUTOFORC cards with a small base field), while another case implemented a 21x21 geopotential field with a RESONPRD card set equal to one day and geopotential short periodic

terms either removed (as with the tesseral high frequency short periodics) or truncated (as with the zonal and m-daily short periodics). Both of these configurations capture the shallow resonance contributions; however, as was stated in the MACC section, the configuration using a 21x21 geopotential model and a RESONPRD card set to one day is (1) simpler than using the AUTOFORC and RESNM configuration and (2) applicable for all cases under the broad scope of the HACC. For these reasons, the 21x21 geopotential model with RESONPRD equal to one day (and geopotential short periodics either removed or truncated) was chosen for the optimal configuration. This configuration was only 5% slower than the more complicated AUTOFORC and RESNM combination, but 30 meters more accurate in the predict span (a benefit gained by the AOG zonal terms above the 8x8 base configuration up to the 21x21 limit). In all, roughly 40 meters is gained in the three day predict with the addition of the shallow resonance terms.

The setup for the HACC which provides an optimal balance between accuracy and computational speed can now be given:

- 21x21 geopotential (AOG)
- RESONPRD equal to one day (86400.0 seconds)
- J₂² (AOG)
- Truncated zonal short periodic modeling (the maximum power of the eccentricity was set to 2 and the maximum frequency in true longitude was set to 11 in Fourier coefficients for these short periodic expansions)
- Truncated tesseral m-daily short periodic modeling (maximum power of the eccentricity was set to 2 in Fourier Coefficients for these short periodic expansions)
- Lunar / solar third body point mass effects (AOG)
- Solar Radiation Pressure (AOG)
- Solve for C_R
- SSTESTFL 1 2 0 0.0
- SSTAPGFL 1 0 0 0.0 0.0 1.0

Sample input decks for the HACC are given in the appendices.

5.0 Geosynchronous Case (GEO)

The BS 3A orbit was chosen to fulfill the geosynchronous test case. In the ETAS study, the GEO is characterized by a SMAH range of 33,000 - 39,000 km and an eccentricity range from 0.0 - 0.05. For this test case, multiple runs were made in which varying configurations of DSST were fit to a Cowell truth model over a three day arc. A three day DSST EG with the DC solve-for parameters followed the fit span. Accuracy metrics were derived from comparisons between the DSST and Cowell trajectories over both the fit (DC RMS) and predict spans (from the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program). ORB1 output was produced every 450 seconds.

The osculating elements required for the Cowell truth EG were derived from a ten day Cowell fit to an SGP4 ephemeris. The two card element set used to generate the SGP4 ephemeris is given in Table 16:

Table 16. BS 3A Two-Card Element Set, GEO, September 1994 SATCAT

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940825 170334.9932
Mean Motion	1.00266891 rev/day
Eccentricity	0.0003102
Inclination	0.0467 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node	243.1923 deg
Argument of Perigee	288.7716 deg

Table 16. BS 3A Two-Card Element Set, GEO, September 1994 SATCAT (Continued)

Mean Anomaly	167.5966 deg
B*	0.0 er ⁻¹

The specifics for the Cowell DC and subsequent EG are given in Table 17:

Table 17. Cowell DC and Subsequent EG Inputs, GEO

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940825 170334.9932
Fit Begin Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940825 170335.0000
Fit End Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940904 170335.0000
EG End Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940905 170335.0000
Input, Integration, and Output Frame	Earth Equator and
	Equinox of 1950
	(Mean of 1950)
Integrator	12th Order Summed Cowell/
	Adams Predict-Partially Correct
Step Size	60.0 sec
Gravity Field	21x21 JGM2
Lunar / Solar Point Masses	Yes
Drag Model	No
Solar Radiation Pressure	Yes
	(Solve C _R)
Average Spacecraft Cross-Sectional Area	1.0 m ²
Spacecraft Mass	100 kg
Position Standard Deviation	500 meters
Velocity Standard Deviation	50 cm/sec
velocity Standard Deviation	30 cm/sec

The same initial guess of the state vector and observation noise standard deviation were used for all of the DSST DC runs. These values are highlighted in Table 18:

Table 18. DSST DC Specifics, GEO

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940825 170334.9932
Fit Span Begin YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	940825 170335.0000
Fit Span End YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	940828 170335.0000
Semimajor Axis (mean)	42167.16
Eccentricity (mean)	0.000275
Inclination (mean)	0.23 deg

Table 18. DSST DC Specifics, GEO (Continued)

Longitude of Ascending Node (mean)	97.6 deg
Argument of Perigee (mean)	75.3 deg
Mean Anomaly (mean)	166.09 deg
DSST Input, Integration and Output Frame	Earth Equator and Equinox of 1950 (Mean of 1950)
DSST Step Size	43200.0 sec
Geopotential Model	JGM2
Position Standard Deviation	100 meters
Velocity Standard Deviation	10 cm/sec

In the DSST DC runs, varying configurations corresponding to different perturbation modeling were used to determine the optimal balance of accuracy and computational speed. Results of the DC's and subsequent EG's for the GEO are given in Table 19; first, a few notes concerning the test protocol for the GEO:

- Total Position RMS is derived over the three day predict span only (from the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program).
- R&D GTDS default configuration settings are used unless specifically noted.
- "NUM SP" refers to numerical short periodics used in conjunction with weak time dependence (WTD) and third body perturbations.
- All cases which attempt to solve for C_R include solar radiation pressure effects in the AOG partials (if C_R is
 not solved for, then all solar radiation pressure partials in the AOG are shut off). All cases include J₂ partials
 analytically in the AOG. No other AOG or SPG partials were included in any of the runs.
- All cases have an SSTESTFL card of 1 2; this setting puts only J₂ AOG partials into the A matrix (computed analytically). All other desired AOG partials for non-conservative perturbations are included in the D matrix via finite differences. For these runs, only solar radiation pressure effects (if solving for C_R) have been included in the D matrix.
- Cases with a "*" in the DC RMS column had a DC convergence criteria of 1.D-3 (rather than 1.D-4 which was
 used for all other cases).

Table 19. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, GEO

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	137.54	8.24	228.17
$\left \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{J_2^2} \end{array}\right $		100	• 1.5	-			SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
	:						Solve C _R	SPG		(29 its)	
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	78.49	8.24	228.17
J ₂ ²						·	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
							Solve C _R	SPG		(29 its)	
4x4	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	72.01	8.94	209.87
J ₂ ²							SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
_							Solve C _R	SPG		(30 its)	
4x4	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	69.32	8.94	209.80
J ₂ ²							SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
_							Solve C _R	SPG		(29 its)	
4x4	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	30.19	5.10	21.249
J ₂ ²							SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
(opt. deck)							Solve C _R	NUM SP WTD		(4 its)	
4x4	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	41.91	3.54	25.578
J ₂ ²							Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
	į						C _R	NUM SP WTD	·	(12 its)	
4x4	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	L-S	27.80	80.99	391.75
J ₂ ²								AOG	sec	meters	meters
								NUM SP WTD		(3 its)	
4x4	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	No	35.86	714.81	4046.5
J_2^2							SPG		sec	meters	meters
"2							Solve			(9 its)	
			-				CR		1		

Table 19. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, GEO (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
4x0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	46.35	24.74	7229.1
J ₂ ²							SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
							Solve C _R	NUM SP WTD		(16 its)	
	Spa	ce Comm	nand's SG	P4 (solve	e for drag	term ndo	t/2)		59.67	159.50	3934.5
							sec	meters	meters		
										(30 its)	
										*	
ļ ———	Space	Command	d's SGP4	(do not s	olve for d	lrag term	ndot/2)		36.21	479.85	2060.2
	Space Command's SGP4 (do not solve for drag term $ndot/2$) $B^* = 0.0 \text{ er}^{-1}$						sec	meters	meters		
									(12 its)		
										*	

The results in Table 19 indicate a small degree and order geopotential model (i.e., 4x4) can be used without a significant loss in accuracy. In addition, the importance of third body effects and solar radiation pressure (solving for C_R) can clearly be seen. Completely neglecting third body effects leads to ~4 km worth of predict error over the three day span; neglecting solar radiation pressure in both the AOG and SPG leads to roughly a 350-400 meter error over the three day predict. Neglecting SRP short periodics (but still modeling SRP in the AOG and solving for C_R), does not significantly impact accuracy (~5 m), but causes extra DC iterations for convergence (and, hence, longer run times). Furthermore, weak time dependence, which accounts for the motion of the third bodies over the course of the satellite's orbit in the equations of motion, must be modeled. A weak time independent theory assumes the position of the third body does not move over the course of an averaging interval (the orbital period) in the development of the equations of motion. For low altitude orbits, this assumption works well. However, for geosynchronous orbits (with periods of roughly 24 hours), these results indicate using a weak time independent theory leads to extra DC iterations for convergence and roughly a 200 meter predict error over the course of three days.

The case using a 4x0 geopotential field illustrates the impact of resonance on the geosynchronous orbit. For the three day predict, over 7 km worth of error is introduced by neglecting resonant terms. The impact of using a subset of resonant terms (rather the the full complement for the 1:1 resonance) can clearly be seen in the SGP4 results. The results for optimized DSST indicate the efficiency with which a full complement of resonant terms can be added to a propagation theory.

The setup for the GEO which provides an optimal balance between accuracy and computational speed can now be given:

- 4x4 geopotential (AOG)
- J₂² (AOG and SPG)
- · Zonal short periodics with default settings
- Lunar / solar third body point mass effects (AOG)
- Numerical third body short periodics (WTD)
- Solar radiation pressure (AOG & SPG)

- Solve for C_R
- SSTESTFL 1 2 0 0.0
- SSTAPGFL 1 0 0 0.0 0.0 1.0

Sample input decks for the GEO are given in the appendices.

6.0 Molniya Case (MOLY)

Satellite #9829 (SATCAT) was chosen to fulfill the Molniya test case 14,20 . In the ETAS study, the Molniya / geostationary transfer orbit is characterized by a SMAH range from 18,000 - 22,000 km and an eccentricity range from 0.05 to 1.0 (this analysis will strictly focus on the Molniya orbit which, typically, has an eccentricity of ~ 0.73).

For this test case, multiple runs were made in which varying configurations of DSST were fit to a Cowell truth model over a three day arc. A three day DSST EG with the DC solve-for parameters followed the fit span. Accuracy metrics were derived from comparisons between the DSST and Cowell trajectories over both the fit (DC RMS) and predict spans (from the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program). ORB1 output was produced every 450 seconds.

The osculating elements required for the Cowell truth EG were derived from a six month DSST fit to real data ¹⁴. The six month DSST fit to the real data resulted in a set of mean Keplerian elements which were propagated forward one day to produce the osculating elements used in the Cowell truth EG. The mean Keplerian elements, as well as other settings used in the one day DSST propagation, are listed in Table 20:

Table 20. Mean Element Set Used To Build Osculating Elements, MOLY (from Reference 14)

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	790803 234212.0000
Semi-Major Axis (mean)	26556.9582 km
Eccentricity (mean)	0.6990986
Inclination (mean)	63.173001 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node (mean)	190.619681 deg
Argument of Perigee (mean)	281.59624 deg
Mean Anomaly (mean)	13.29315 deg
Input, Integration, and Output Frame	Earth Equator and
	Equinox of 1950
	(Mean of 1950)
Integrator	4th Order Runge-Kutta
DSST Step Size	43200.0 sec
Gravity Field	8x8 GEM9
Lunar / Solar Point Masses	Yes
Drag Model	Jacchia-Roberts
	$C_{D} = 2.0$
Solar Radiation Pressure	Yes
	$C_{R} = 1.2$

Table 20. Mean Element Set Used To Build Osculating Elements, MOLY (from Reference 14)

Global Short Periodic Select	Moderate Accuracy Molniya Configuration (SPSHPER = 5)		
Average Spacecraft Cross-Sectional Area	12.5 m ²		
Spacecraft Mass	1250 kg		

The specifics for the Cowell truth EG are given in Table 21:

Table 21. Cowell Truth EG Inputs, MOLY

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	790804 234212.0000
EG End Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	790818 234212.0000
Input, Integration, and Output Frame	Earth Equator and
	Equinox of 1950
	(Mean of 1950)
Integrator	Time Regularized Cowell
Time Regularization Constant for Integrator	1.5
Step Size	200 Step Per Revolution
Gravity Field	21x21 GEM10B
Lunar / Solar Point Masses	Yes
Drag Model	Jacchia-Roberts
·	$C_{\rm D} = 2.0$
Solar Radiation Pressure	Yes
	$C_{R} = 1.2$
Average Spacecraft Cross-Sectional Area	12.5 m ²
Spacecraft Mass	1250 kg

The same initial guess of the state vector and observation noise standard deviation were used for all of the DSST DC runs. These values are highlighted in Table 22:

Table 22. DSST DC Specifics, MOLY

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	790804 234212.0000
Fit Span Begin YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	790804 234212.0000
Fit Span End YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	790807 234212.0000
Semimajor Axis (mean)	26572.176 km
Eccentricity (mean)	0.699
Inclination (mean)	63.2 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node (mean)	190.5 deg

Table 22. DSST DC Specifics, MOLY (Continued)

Argument of Perigee (mean)	281.6 deg	
Mean Anomaly (mean)	15.429 deg	
DSST Input, Integration and Output Frame	Earth Equator and Equinox of 1950 (Mean of 1950)	
Geopotential Model	GEM10B	
Position Standard Deviation	100 meters	
Velocity Standard Deviation	10 cm/sec	

In the DSST DC runs, varying configurations corresponding to different perturbation modeling were used to determine the optimal balance of accuracy and computational speed. Results of the DC's and subsequent EG's for the MOLY are given in Table 23; again, it is first desirable to highlight a few notes concerning the test protocol for the MOLY:

- Total Position RMS is derived over the three day predict span only (from R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program).
- R&D GTDS default configuration settings are used unless specifically noted.
- "trunc" refers to truncated modeling; modeling descriptions corresponding to the R&D GTDS Semianalytic Satellite Theory input processor are specified where needed. Additional details can be found in the R&D GTDS Semianalytic Theory Input Processor keyword description booklet³³.
- "splunara" and "spsolara" also refer to truncated modeling; additional details can be found in the R&D GTDS
 Semianalytic Theory Input Processor keyword description booklet³³.
- All cases which attempt to solve for C_D include first order drag effects in the AOG partials (if C_D is not solved for, then all drag partials in the AOG are shut off). Similarly, all cases which attempt to solve for C_R include solar radiation pressure effects in the AOG partials (if C_R is not solved for, then all solar radiation pressure partials in the AOG are shut off). All cases include J₂ partials analytically in the AOG. No other AOG or SPG partials were included in any of the runs.
- Most cases have an SSTESTFL card of 1 3; this setting includes all desired AOG partials in the A matrix (J₂ partials are computed analytically; all other partial derivatives are computed via finite differences). In addition, this setting includes desired AOG partial derivatives for non-conservative perturbations in the D matrix via finite differences (these partials were also included in the A matrix). Cases noted by ** have an SSTESTFL card of 1 2; this setting puts only J₂ AOG partials into the A matrix (computed analytically). All other desired AOG partials for non-conservative perturbations are included in the D matrix via finite differences (with this setting, third body and J₂² AOG partial derivatives, if desired, would not be included anywhere; if they are desired, the SSTESTFL setting of 1 3 should be used).

Table 23. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, MOLY

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	174.17	20.62	149.19
J ₂ ²			88			AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
			33 35			SPG	Solve	SPG		(5 its)	
!		,	-41 41			Solve C _D	C _R				
8x8	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	60.24	33.15	146.27
J ₂ ²			44			AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
			33 35			SPG	Solve	SPG		(5 its)	
			-15 15			Solve C _D	C _R				
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	43.89	117.30	220.10
J ₂ ²						AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
						SPG	Solve	SPG		(5 its)	
				1		Solve C _D	C _R				
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	39.92	117.65	220.08
J ₂ ²						AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
		3				SPG	$C_{\mathbf{R}}$	SPG		(4 its)	
						Solve C _D					
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	39.00	117.65	220.08
J ₂ ²						AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
	:					Solve C _D	C _R	SPG		(4 its)	
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	38.49	208.28	357.40
J ₂ ²						AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
						Solve C _D	C _R			(4 its)	
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	No	42.90	1027.38	12670.0
J_2^2						AOG	Solve		sec	meters	meters
						Solve C _D	C _R			(6 its)	

Table 23. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, MOLY (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	38.83	116.66	219.04
J_2^2						AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
						Solve C _D	C _R	splunara 4482 spsolara 2242		(4 its)	
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	No	L-S	38.62	121.09	256.95
J_2^2						AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
-						Solve C _D		SPG		(4 its)	
8x8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	34.77	117.63	218.75
J_2^2					}		Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
							$C_{\mathbf{R}}$	SPG		(4 its)	
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	37.24	114.62	219.37
J_2^2	6 5 10	442				AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
						Solve C _D	CR	SPG		(4 its)	
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	36.59	114.82	219.33
J_2^2	438	442				AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
						Solve C _D	C _R	SPG		(4 its)	
8x8	trunc	trunc	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	34.11	114.82	219.33
J_2^2	438	442				AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
* *						Solve	C _R	SPG		(4 its)	
(opt. deck)						C _D					
	Spa	ce Comn	nand's SC	P4 (solv	e for drag	term ndo	ot/2)		35.41	2690.67	5519.0
									sec	meters	meters
										(8 its)	
	Space (Comman	d's SGP4	(do not s	olve for o	drag term	ndot/2)		28.29	3082.99	4537.3
			B*	= 0.0001	er ⁻¹				sec	meters	meters
										(4 its)	

The results in Table 23 demonstrate the wide variety of perturbative effects experienced by Molniya orbits. The low perigee heights contribute to geopotential and atmospheric effects, while the high apogee heights lead to third body and solar radiation pressure effects. Of particular importance are the effects of tesseral resonance (Molniya

orbits usually maintain a repeating groundtrack and complete very nearly two revolutions per day). If neglected, resonance can contribute several kilometers worth of error (as is evident in the analytic DCs, which only model a subset of the resonant terms)²⁰. Specifically, the following notes can be taken from Table 23 concerning the short periodic models of DSST:

- drag and solar radiation pressure short periodics do not significantly affect accuracy; they can be truncated to reduce computation time
- neglecting third body short periodics adds ~ 100-150 meters worth of error over the three day predict
- zonal and m-daily short periodics can be truncated to decrease computation time without significantly impacting accuracy
- tesseral high frequency short periodics serve to provide accuracy closure with Cowell (they have an impact on the order of 100 meters), but are computationally expensive

These results also indicate neglecting third body effects (both AOG and SPG) leads to almost 13 km worth of error over the three day predict.

In the first high accuracy DSST run, the DC RMS error is on the order of 20 meters. This error can be attributed to geopotential effects beyond the 8x8 configuration for DSST (up to the 21x21 limit in the Cowell truth model), truncations to the equations of motion in DSST's J_2^2 model (O[e¹] in the AOG and O[e⁰] in the SPG), neglecting J_2 / m-daily coupling, an incomplete tesseral high frequency short periodic model at the 8x8 limit (SPTESSLC = 8 8 33 35-41 41), and an error due to a discrepancy between the truth and DC "solved-for" values of CD and CR. If this first high accuracy DSST DC is repeated with the exact values of C_D and C_R used in the truth ($C_D = 2.0$, $C_R = 1.2$), a DC RMS of ~ 13 meters results. This implies roughly 7 to 8 meters of the original ~ 20 meter DC RMS error is due to having poor DC solutions for C_D and C_R . Further analysis implementing an 8x8 DSST fit (SPTESSLC = 8 8 33 35 -41 41, J_2 / m-daily off) to an 8x8 Cowell truth model in which both the fit and truth theories used a small value of J_2 (1.0 D⁻⁶) and geopotential effects only results in a DC RMS of ~ 3 meters. This 3 meter error can be attributed to the incomplete tesseral high frequency short periodic model of DSST for the 8x8 configuration (remember, the 8x8 DSST configuration isn't really "full-up"; rather, an SPTESSLC of 8 8 33 35 -41 41 was used). If this same test is repeated except for using the regular value of J2, an error of ~ 7 meters results. This means the truncations in DSST J_2^2 model and the neglect of J_2 / m-daily coupling terms results in ~ 4 meters of error. This leaves an approximate 5 to 6 meter error due to geopotential terms beyond the 8x8 configuration up to the 21x21 limit used in the truth. As a final note, it should be mentioned this specific breakdown of errors is dependent upon the geometry of this particular orbit, the relationship between the fit span and perigee locations, etc. Therefore, these error quantifications should be used as a "rule of thumb" metrics.

The setup for the MOLY which provides an optimal balance between accuracy and computational speed can now be given:

- 8x8 geopotential (AOG)
- J₂² (AOG and SPG)
- Truncated central body zonal harmonic short periodics (SPZONALS = 4 3 8)
- Truncated m-daily tesseral harmonic short periodics (SPMDAILY = 4 4 2)
- Lunar / solar third body point mass effects (AOG & SPG)
- Atmospheric drag (AOG)
- Solve for C_D
- Solar radiation pressure (AOG)
- Solve for C_R
- SSTESTFL 1 2 0 0.0
- SSTAPGFL 1 0 0 1.0 0.0 1.0

Sample input decks for the MOLY are given in the appendices.

7.0 Near Earth Eccentric Case (NEEC)

The Vanguard 2 orbit was chosen to fulfill the near earth eccentric test case. In the ETAS study, the NEEC is characterized by a SMAH range from 0 - 2500 km and an eccentricity range from 0.05 to 1.0. For this test case, multiple runs were made in which varying configurations of DSST were fit to a Cowell truth model over a three day arc. A three day DSST EG with the DC solve-for parameters followed the fit span. Accuracy metrics were derived from comparisons between the DSST and Cowell trajectories over both the fit (DC RMS) and predict spans (from the R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program). ORB1 output was produced every 450 seconds.

The osculating elements required for the Cowell truth EG were derived from a five day Cowell fit to an SGP4 ephemeris. The two card element set used to generate the SGP4 ephemeris is given in Table 24:

Table 24. Vanguard 2 Two-Card Element Set, NEEC, September 1994 SATCAT

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940826 073513.6735
Mean Motion	11.73921485 rev/day
Eccentricity	0.1522640
Inclination	32.8834 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node	251.8592 deg
Argument of Perigee	10.8368 deg
Mean Anomaly	352.1515 deg
B*	0.000154 er ⁻¹

The specifics for the Cowell DC and subsequent EG are given in Table 25:

Table 25. Cowell DC and Subsequent EG Inputs, NEEC

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940826 073513.6735
Fit Begin Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940826 073514.0000
Fit End Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940831 073514.0000
EG End Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940905 073514.0000
Input, Integration, and Output Frame	Earth Equator and Equinox of 1950 (Mean of 1950)
Integrator	12th Order Summed Cowell/ Adams Predict-Partially Correct
Step Size	60.0 sec
Gravity Field	21x21 JGM2
Lunar / Solar Point Masses	Yes
Drag Model	Jacchia-Roberts-Schatten (Solve ρ ₁)

Table 25. Cowell DC and Subsequent EG Inputs, NEEC (Continued)

Solar Radiation Pressure	Yes
	(Solve C _R)
Average Spacecraft Cross-Sectional Area	1.0 m ²
Spacecraft Mass	100 kg
Position Standard Deviation	500 meters
Velocity Standard Deviation	50 cm/sec

The same initial guess of the state vector and observation noise standard deviation were used for all of the DSST DC runs. These values are highlighted in Table 26:

Table 26. DSST DC Specifics, NEEC

Epoch Date YYMMDD HHMMSS.SSSS	940826 073513.6735
Fit Span Begin YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	940826 073514.0
Fit Span End YYMMDD HHMMSS.S	940829 073514.0
Semimajor Axis (mean)	8177.913 km
Eccentricity (mean)	0.1522640
Inclination (mean)	32.8834 deg
Longitude of Ascending Node (mean)	251.8592 deg
Argument of Perigee (mean)	10.8368 deg
Mean Anomaly (mean)	352.1515 deg
DSST Input Frame	True of Date
DSST Integration and Output Frame	Earth Equator and Equinox of 1950 (Mean of 1950)
Geopotential Model	JGM2
Position Standard Deviation	100 meters
Velocity Standard Deviation	10 cm/sec

In the DSST DC runs, varying configurations corresponding to different perturbation modeling were used to determine the optimal balance of accuracy and computational speed. Results of the DC's and subsequent EG's for the NEEC are given in Table 27; however, it is first desirable to highlight a few notes concerning the test protocol for the NEEC:

- Total Position RMS is derived over the three day predict span only (from R&D GTDS Ephemeris Comparison Program).
- R&D GTDS default configuration settings are used unless specifically noted.
- "trunc" refers to truncated modeling; modeling descriptions corresponding to the R&D GTDS Semianalytic Satellite Theory input processor are specified where needed. Additional details can be found in the R&D GTDS Semianalytic Theory Input Processor keyword description booklet³³.

- RES refers to the inclusion of the 11th and 12th order shallow resonance terms with the AUTOFORC and RESNM keyword cards.
- All cases which attempt to solve for C_D include first order drag effects in the AOG partials (if C_D is not solved for, then all drag partials in the AOG are shut off). Similarly, all cases which attempt to solve for C_R include solar radiation pressure effects in the AOG partials (if C_R is not solved for, then all solar radiation pressure partials in the AOG are shut off). All cases include J₂ partials analytically in the AOG. No other AOG or SPG partials were included in any of the runs.
- Most cases have an SSTESTFL card of 1 3; this setting includes all desired AOG partials in the A matrix (J₂ partials are computed analytically; all other partial derivatives are computed via finite differences). In addition, this setting includes desired AOG partial derivatives for non-conservative perturbations in the D matrix via finite differences (these partials were also included in the A matrix). Cases noted by ** have an SSTESTFL card of 1 2; this setting puts only J₂ AOG partials into the A matrix (computed analytically). All other desired AOG partials for non-conservative perturbations are included in the D matrix via finite differences (with this setting, third body and J₂² AOG partial derivatives, if desired, would not be included anywhere; if they are desired, the SSTESTFL setting of 1 3 should be used).

Table 27. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, NEEC

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	Yes	J-R	AOG	L-S	541.29	41.76	223.16
J ₂ ²	-1 -1		21 21		•	AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON			21 15			SPG	Solve	SPG		(6 its)	
PRD = 1 day			-37 37	!		Solve C _D	C _R				
21x21	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	Yes	J-R	AOG	L-S	202.46	42.76	234.47
J ₂ ²			6621			AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON			15		:	SPG	Solve	SPG		(9 its)	
PRD =			-27 27			Solve	C_{R}	1			Ì
1 day						C _D					
21x21	Yes	Yes	trunc	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	132.27	42.83	232.69
J ₂ ²			6621			AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON			15			SPG	Solve	SPG		(8 its)	ĺ
PRD =			-27 27			Solve C _D	C _R				
21x21	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	70.74	55.08	239.42
J ₂ ²						AOG	SPG	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON						SPG	Solve	SPG		(9 its)	
PRD =						Solve	C _R				
1 day	<u></u>					CD	<u> </u>				

Table 27. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, NEEC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
$12x12$ J_2^2 RESON PRD = 1 day	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R AOG SPG Solve C _D	AOG SPG Solve C _R	L-S AOG SPG	57.42 sec	55.37 meters (9 its)	456.24 meters
8x8 J ₂ ²	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R AOG SPG Solve C _D	AOG SPG Solve C _R	L-S AOG SPG	48.50 sec	58.63 meters (8 its)	389.63 meters
8x8 J ₂ ² RES	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	J-R AOG SPG Solve C _D	AOG SPG Solve C _R	L-S AOG SPG	47.46 sec	61.08 meters (6 its)	207.29 meters
21×21 J_2^2 RESON PRD = 1 day	Trunc. 8 7 17	Trunc. 886	No	Yes	No	J-R AOG SPG Solve C _D	AOG SPG Solve C _R	L-S AOG SPG	47.67 sec	61.06 meters (6 its)	229.95 meters
$ \begin{array}{c} 21 \times 21 \\ \mathbf{J_2^2} \\ \text{RESON} \\ \text{PRD} = \\ 1 \text{ day} \end{array} $	Trunc. 8717	Trunc. 886	No	Yes	No	J-R AOG Solve C _D	AOG SPG Solve C _R	L-S AOG SPG	45.92 sec	61.06 meters (6 its)	229.95 meters
21×21 J_2^2 RESON PRD = 1 day	Trunc. 8 7 17	Trunc. 886	No	Yes	No	J-R AOG Solve C _D	AOG Solve C _R	L-S AOG SPG	45.54 sec	61.06 meters (6 its)	229.94 meters
$21x21$ J_2^2 RESON PRD = 1 day	Trunc. 8 7 17	Trunc. 886	No	Yes	No	J-R AOG Solve C _D	AOG Solve C _R	L-S AOG	44.10 sec	60.94 meters (6 its)	228.52 meters

Table 27. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, NEEC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
21x21	Trunc.	Trunc.	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	42.63	69.54	217.87
J ₂ ²	6 5 13	664				AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON PRD = 1 day						Solve C _D	C _R			(6 its)	
21x21	Trunc.	Trunc.	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	46.30	78.95	238.46
J ₂ ²	439	442				AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
RESON PRD = 1 day						Solve C _D	C _R			(8 its)	:
21x21	Trunc.	Trunc.	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	L-S	37.89	69.42	218.71
J ₂ ²	6 5 13	664				AOG	Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
* *				;		Solve	C_{R}			(6 its)	
RESON			-			$C_{\mathbf{D}}$					
PRD =		je.									
(opt. deck)	· .							i i i e j	14.1		
21x21	Trunc.	Trunc.	No	Yes	No	No	AOG	L-S	35.97	78.48	312.51
J_2^2	6 5 13	664					Solve	AOG	sec	meters	meters
**						,	$C_{\mathbf{R}}$			(6 its)	
RESON PRD = 1 day	·								٠.		
21x21	Trunc.	Trunc.	No	Yes	No	J-R	No	L-S	36.75	67.82	267.96
J ₂ ²	6513	664				AOG		AOG	sec	meters	meters
* *				:		Solve				(6 its)	:
RESON						C_{D}					
PRD =								:			
1 day		-									2665
21x21	Trunc.	Trunc.	No	Yes	No	J-R	AOG	No	38.06	72.15	266.27
J ₂ ² * *	6 5 13	664				AOG	Solve C _R		sec	meters	meters
						Solve C _D	- K			(6 its)	
RESON PRD =						ע					
l day					!				l I		
								<u> </u>	l	1	

Table 27. DSST DC and Subsequent EG Results, NEEC (Continued)

GRAV (AOG)	Zonal SP	M- daily SP	TESS SP	J ₂ ² SP	J ₂ / m-daily SP	Drag	SRP	3rd Body	Run Time	DC RMS	Total Position RMS (Predict Span)
	Space Command's SGP4 (solve for drag term ndot/2)								28.27 sec	909.86 meters (5 its)	1080.7 meters
	Space (Command	d's SGP4 B*=	(do not s		lrag term	ndot/2)		26.69 sec	910.34 meters (4 its)	3284.2 meters

The results in Table 27 indicate the importance of modeling shallow resonance terms; adding the 11th and 12th order shallow resonance terms reduces the predict error by roughly 200 meters. The short periodic models for drag, SRP, and third body have negligible accuracy contributions, but each add a few seconds of run time; therefore, these short periodic models can be neglected to reduce computation time. Like many other cases, the tesseral high frequency short periodic and J_2 / m-daily short periodic terms serve to provide closure with Cowell, but are computationally expensive. For these orbits, their effects can be neglected.

These results also highlight the contribution of several of the AOG terms:

- not modeling drag in the AOG (as well as not solving for C_D) adds roughly 100 meters worth of predict error
- not modeling solar radiation pressure in the AOG (as well as not solving for C_R) adds roughly 50 meters worth of predict error
- not modeling third body effects in the AOG adds roughly 50 meters worth of predict error

In the first high accuracy DSST run, the DC RMS error is on the order of 40 meters. This error can mainly be attributed to truncations to the equations of motion in DSST's J_2^2 model (O[e¹] in the AOG and O[e⁰] in the SPG), an incomplete tesseral high frequency short periodic model, and discrepancies between the truth and DC "solved-for" values of C_D and C_R . If this same DSST case is fit to another Cowell truth model containing 21x21 geopotential effects only, the DC RMS is reduced to ~ 21 meters (in other words, ~ 20 meters can be attributed to the poor DC solution for C_D and C_R). If this test is taken one step further such that both the 21x21 Cowell truth and DSST use a small value of J_2 (1.0 D⁻⁶), the error is reduced to ~ 1.7 meters (i.e., errors due to truncations in DSST's J_2^2 model are on the order of ~ 20 meters). This means ~1-2 meters of error can be attributed to not having a complete 21x21 tesseral high frequency short periodic model (remember, an SPTESSLC of 21 21 21 15 -37 37 was used). Finally, it should be mentioned this specific breakdown of errors is dependent upon the geometry of this particular orbit, the relationship between the fit span and perigee locations, etc. Therefore, these error quantifications should be used as a "rule of thumb" metrics.

Again, it is of particular **importance** to use extreme care when running an optimized version of the DSST. Note how the optimized deck for this case implements a 21x21 geopotential field with a RESONPRD card set to one day and truncated short periodics (rather than the RESNM and AUTOFORC combination). Again, some accuracy and run-time is sacrificed for a deck which is (1) simpler to implement than the RESNM and AUTOFORC combination and (2) general enough to capture resonant terms which might be experienced by other satellites under the broad definition of the NEEC.

The setup for the NEEC which provides an optimal balance between accuracy and computational speed can now be given:

- 21x21 geopotential (AOG)
- RESONPRD equal to one day (86400.0 seconds)
- J₂² (AOG and SPG)
- Truncated zonal short periodics (SPZONALS = 6 5 13)
- Truncated m-daily short periodics (SPMDAILY = 664)
- Lunar / solar third body point mass effects (AOG)
- Atmospheric drag (AOG)
- Solve for C_D
- Solar radiation pressure (AOG)
- Solve for C_R
- SSTESTFL 1 2 0 0.0
- SSTAPGFL 1 0 0 1.0 0.0 1.0

Sample input decks for the NEEC are given in the appendices.

8.0 Conclusions

This paper describes R&D GTDS input decks which provide a balance between speed and accuracy when using the DSST orbit propagation theory. The orbit classes studied in this effort are similar to those investigated in the Ephemeris Theory Accuracy Study (ETAS) performed by Kaman Sciences⁵, and include low, medium, and high altitude circular orbits, as well as Molniya, geosynchronous, and near earth eccentric orbits. In addition to the optimized decks (refer to Appendix A), standardized test cases have been supplied for each of the orbit types analyzed herein (refer to Appendix B). All results were obtained from batch differential correction fits and subsequent ephemeris comparisons to Cowell generated truth data. The input decks specified in this study provide the R&D GTDS user with insight concerning the accuracy and computational expense of the various force model options (AOG & SPG) available in DSST for each orbital class. The optimal decks also provide the basis for an automatic force model option which can be added to current DSST implementations.

9.0 Recommendations

Clearly, this paper does not address all of the orbit types currently in use. Therefore, if optimal DSST input decks are desired for other orbit types, further research is required. In addition, software modifications should be made to R&D GTDS to allow the user to automatically implement these optimal input decks (if so desired). These setups could be specified on the SPSHPER keyword card. Finally, an automatic resonance selection capability (based on the input semimajor axis) should be considered for R&D GTDS.

10.0 REFERENCES

- [1] Danielson, Don et al. Semianalytical Satellite Theory (SST) Mathematical Algorithms. Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California. NPS-MA-94-001. January 1994.
- [2] McClain, Wayne. A Recursively Formulated First-Order Semianalytic Artificial Satellite Theory Based on the Generalized Method of Averaging. Volume I. Contract NAS 5-24300. Task Assignment 880. June 1978.
- [3] McClain, Wayne. A Recursively Formulated First-Order Semianalytic Artificial Satellite Theory Based on the Generalized Method of Averaging. Volume II. Contract NAS 5-24300. Task Assignment 895. May 1978.

- [4] Fonte, Daniel. PC Based Orbit Determination. AIAA Paper 94-3776. AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Conference. Scottsdale, Arizona. August 1994.
- [5] Ephemeris Theory Accuracy Study. Cheyenne Mountain AFB Software Support. Kaman Sciences Corporation, Colorado Springs. Contract No. F05603-91-C-0011. Presented at MIT Space Surveillance Workshop. March 1995.
- [6] Cefola, P., Long, A., and Holloway, G. The Long-Term Prediction of Artificial Satellite Orbits. AIAA Paper 74-170. AIAA 12th Aerospace Sciences Meeting. Washington DC. January/February 1974.
- [7] McClain, W., Long, A., and Early, L. Development and Evaluation of a Hybrid Averaged Orbit Generator. Paper 78-1382. AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Conference. Palo Alto, California. August 1978.
- [8] McClain, W. and Slutsky, M. A Theory for the Short Period Motion of an Artificial Satellite. AIAA 80-1658. AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Conference. Danvers, Massachusetts. August 1980.
- [9] Proulx, R., McClain, W., Early, L., and Cefola, P. A Theory for the Short Period Motion Due to the Tesseral Harmonic Gravity Field. Paper 81-180. AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Specialist Conference. Lake Tahoe, Nevada. August 1981.
- [10] Proulx, Ronald. Numerical Testing of Semianalytic Satellite Theory for a High Eccentricity Orbit. PL-023-82-RP. Draper Laboratory Intralab Memorandum. March 1982.
- [11] Green, Andrew. Orbit Determination and Prediction Processes for Low Altitude Satellites. Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. CSDL-T-703. December 1979.
- [12] Collins, Sean. Long Term Prediction of High Altitude Orbits. Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. CSDL-T-739. March 1981.
- [13] Taylor, Steve. Semianalytic Satellite Theory and Sequential Estimation. Master of Science Thesis, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. CSDL-T-757. September 1981.
- [14] Feiger, Martin. An Evaluation of Semianalytic Satellite Theory Against Long Arcs of Real Data for Highly Eccentric Orbits. Master of Science Thesis, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. CSDL-T-938. January 1987.
- [15] Herriges, Darrell. NORAD General Perturbation Theories: An Independent Analysis. Master of Science Thesis, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. CSDL-T-972. January 1988.
- [16] Dyar, Walter. Comparison of Orbit Propagators in the Research and Development Goddard Trajectory Determination System (R&D GTDS). Master of Science Thesis, Department of Applied Mathematics, Naval Postgraduate School. September 1993.
- [17] Cefola, Paul and Proulx, Ronald. Application of the Semianalytic Satellite Theory to Shallow Resonance Orbits. AAS 91-139. AAS/AIAA Spaceflight Mechanics Conference. Houston, Texas. February 1991.
- [18] Fonte, Daniel. Implementing a 50x50 Gravity Field Model in an Orbit Determination System. Master of Science Thesis, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. CSDL-T-1169. May 1993.
- [19] Fonte, Daniel, Proulx, Ronald, and Cefola, Paul. Implementing a 50x50 Gravity Field Model in an Orbit Determination System. AAS Paper 93-693. AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Conference. Victoria, BC, Canada. August 1993.

- [20] Fonte, Daniel. Tesseral Harmonic Effects for Molniya Orbits. AAS Paper 95-197. AAS/AIAA Spaceflight Mechanics Conference. Albuquerque, New Mexico. February 1995.
- [21] Sabol, Chris. Application of Sun-Synchronous, Critically Inclined Orbits to Global Personal Communications Systems. Master of Science Thesis, Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. CSDL-T-1235. February 1995.
- [22] Sabol, Chris, Cefola, Paul, and Metzinger, Rick. Application of Sun-Synchronous, Critically Inclined Orbits to Global Personal Communications Systems. AAS Paper 95-222. AAS/AIAA Spaceflight Mechanics Conference. Albuquerque, New Mexico. February 1995.
- [23] Fonte, Daniel. Evaluation of Orbit Propagators for the HI-CLASS Program. Phillips Laboratory Technical Report, PL 94-1017. Presented at MIT Space Surveillance Workshop. March 1995.
- [24] Fonte, D., Neta, B., Sabol, C., Danielson, D., and Dyar, W. Comparison of Orbit Propagators in the Research and Development Goddard Trajectory Determination System (R&D GTDS). Part I: Simulated Data. Proposed AAS/AIAA Paper. AAS/AIAA Astrodynamics Conference. Halifax, Nova Scotia. August 1995.
- [25] Hoots, Felix R., and Roehrich, Ronald L. Models for Propagation of NORAD Element Sets. Spacetrack Report #3. December 1980. Aerospace Defense Command, United States Air Force.
- [26] Lane, M. and Cranford, K. An Improved Analytical Drag Theory for the Artificial Satellite Problem. AIAA Paper 69-925. AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Conference. Princeton, New Jersey. August 1969.
- [27] Hujsak, R.S. A Restricted Four Body Solution for Resonating Satellites with an Oblate Earth. AAS Paper 79-136. AAS/AIAA Astrodynamics Specialist Conference. Provincetown, Massachusetts. June 1979.
- [28] Hoots, F.R. and France, R.G. An Analytic Satellite Theory Using Gravity and a Dynamic Atmosphere. Celestial Mechanics, Volume 40, No. 1. 1987.
- [29] Liu, J.F. and Alford, R.L. A Semi-Analytic Theory for the Motion of a Close-Earth Artificial Satellite with Drag. AIAA Paper 79-0123. 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting. New Orleans, Louisiana. January 1979.
- [30] Liu, J.F. and Alford, R.L. Semianalytic Theory for a Close-Earth Artificial Satellite (Errata). Journal of Guidance and Control. Volume 4, No. 5. 1981.
- [31] Liu, J., France, R., and Hujsak, R. Application of a Semianalytic Orbit Theory Using Observed Data. <u>Journal of the Astronautical Sciences</u>. Volume 31, No. 1. 1983.
- [32] Goddard Trajectory Determination System (GTDS) Mathematical Theory. NASA's Operational GTDS Mathematical Specification. Revision 1. Edited by Computer Sciences Corporation and NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. Contract NAS 5-31500. Task 213. July 1989.
- [33] Metzinger, Richard. R&D GTDS Semianalytical Satellite Theory Input Processor. Intralab Memorandum. ESD-92-582, February 8, 1993. Available through The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Cambridge, MA 02139.

Appendix A Optimized DSST Input Decks

LACC OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL	DC	:				SATNAME	1234567
EPOCH				820224.0	0.0		
ELEMENT1	1	6	1	6628.457	0.0089	64.84	
ELEMENT2				224.51	271.89	115.16	
OBSINPUT	15			820224000000.0	820224013000.0		
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT							
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV		25		10.	10.	10.	
END							
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT	1		4				
CONVERG	30		1	1.D-4			
END	-		_				
OGOPT							
NCBODY	1						
DRAG	ı			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1	1.0	•		
SCPARAM			-	1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRC	1	1	1	3.0	1.0	3.0	
SPGRVFRC		6	4	2.0	-10.0	10.0	
POTFIELD	_	6	7	2.0	-10.0	10.0	
MAXDEGEQ		0		8.			
-				8.			
MAXORDEQ				ь.			
STATEPAR		2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
STATETAB	1	2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
DRAGPAR		1				i.	
DRAGPAR2		2	0	0.0			
SSTESTFL		_	_	0.0	6.0		
SSTAPGFL	Ŧ	0	0	1.0	6.0	0.0	
END							
FIN	737				Otherm	CAMMINATI	1024567
CONTROL		PHEN 2		626224	OUTPUT 030000.0	SATNAME	1234567
	1	1	1	820224.	1.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	5		_	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT							
NCBODY	1						
DRAGPAR	0						
DRAG	1		-	1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1	1.50	100 00		
SCPARAM		_	_	1.D-6	100.D0	• •	
SPGRVFRC		1	1	3.0	1.0	3.0	
SPTESSLC		6	4	2.0	-10.0	10.0	
POTFIELD		6		•			•
MAXDEGEQ				8.			
MAXORDEQ				8.	00000400000	450.0	
OUTOPT	21			820224000000.0	820224030000.0	450.0	
END							
FIN							

MACC OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL	DC	2				LNDSAT-4	8207201
EPOCH				820224.0	0.0		
ELEMENT1	3	6	1	7077.8	0.0011	98.2	
ELEMENT2	-	_	_	158.1	89.4	176.0	
OBSINPUT	15			820224000000.0	820227000000.0		
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT	_	_	_				
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV			26	10.	10.	10.	
END	27	23	20	10.			
DCOPT							
	1		4				
PRINTOUT			1	1.D-4			
CONVERG	30		_	1.0-4			
END							
OGOPT	_			_			
DRAG	1		_	1			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SPDRAG	0						
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRO	1	1		3.0	3.0	3.0	
SPZONALS	8	2	11				
SPMDAILY	8	8					
POTFIELD	1	6					
MAXDEGEO	1			21.			
MAXORDEO	1			21.			
RESONPRI)			86400.0			
STATEPAR							
STATETAL		2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
DRAGPAR	1						
DRAGPAR2		1				1 - 4 - 1 - 6 - 1	
SSTESTFI		2					
SSTAPGFI	. 1	0	0	1.0	0.0	0.0	
END							
FIN						******* 4	0005001
CONTROL		PHE			OUTPUT	LNDSAT-4	8207201
OUTPUT	1			820302.	0.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	. 5	1	. 1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT				_			
DRAG	1			1			
DRAGPAR	0					,	
ATMOSDEN			1				
SPDRAG	0						
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRO	1			3.0	3.0	3.0 ;	
SPZONALS			11				
SPMDAIL		_					
POTFIELI							
MAXDEGE	-			21.			
MAXORDE	-			21.			
RESONPRI				86400.0		450.5	
OUTOPT	21			820224000000.0	820302000000.0	450.0	
17117							
END FIN							

HACC OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL	DC					EXPLORER	65032A
EPOCH				940826.0	074853.5101		
ELEMENT1	3	6	1	7498.0	0.0247108	41.1904	
ELEMENT2	_			349.3346	66.5461	296.1065	
OBSINPUT	15			940826074854.0	940828074854.0		
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT	_	_	_				
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV	24			10.	10.	10.	
END		~~					
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT	1		4		•		
CONVERG	30		i	1.D-4			
END	50		-	1.5 1			
OGOPT							
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SPSRP	ō			1.0			
SCPARAM	Ü			1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRC	1	1	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	
SPZONALS	8		11	3.0	3.0		
SPMDAILY	8	8	2				
POTFIELD	1	.2	4				
MAXDEGEQ	1	2		21.			
MAXORDEQ	1			21.			
RESONPRD	_			86400.0			
STATEPAR	3			86400.0			
STATETAB	1	2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
SOLRDPAR		-		1.0	3.0		
SSTESTFL	1	2	0	0.0			
SSTAPGFL	1	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
END		U	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
FIN		٠					To Alley
	17.1	HE	N/F		OUTPUT	EXPLORER	65032A
CONTROL		2		940831.	074854.0	86400.0	03032
OUTPUT ORBTYPE	1 5	1		43200.0	1.0	00400.0	
OGOPT	5	7	_	43200.0	1.0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SPSRP	0			1.0			
SCPARAM	U			1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRDPAR	0			1.0-0	100.20		
SPGRVFRC	1	,	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	
SPZONALS	8		11	3.0	3.0	3.0	
SPZONALS	_	8					
POTFIELD		2	_				
		4		21.			•
MAXDEGEQ MAXORDEO				21.			
_				86400.0			
RESONPRD OUTOPT	21			940826074854.0	940831074854.0	450.0	
END	21			J-10200/4034.U	7400310/4034.0	130.0	
FIN							
E TIA							

GEOSYNCHRONOUS OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL	DC	!				BS3A	20771
EPOCH				940825.0	170334.9932		
ELEMENT1	1	6	1	42167.16	0.000275	0.23	
ELEMENT2				97.6	75.3	166.09	
OBSINPUT	15			940825170335.0	940828170335.0		
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT							
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV	24	25	26	10.	10.	10.	
END							
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT	1		4				
CONVERG	30		1	1.D-4			
END							
OGOPT							
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRC	1	3	3	2.0	1.0	3.0	
SPNUMGRV	7	1	8	2.0	2.0	3600.0	
POTFIELD	1	2					
MAXDEGEQ	1			4.			
MAXORDEQ	1			4.			
STATEPAR	3						
STATETAB	1	2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
SOLRDPAR	1						
SSTESTFL	1	2	0	0.0			
SSTAPGFL	1	0	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
END							
FIN							
CONTROL	EF	HEM			OUTPUT	BS3A	20771
OUTPUT	1	2	1	940905	170335.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT							
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SOLRDPAR	0						
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRC	1	3	3	2.0	1.0	3.0	
SPNUMGRV	7	1	8	2.0	2.0	3600.0	
POTFIELD	1	2					
MAXDEGEQ	1			4.			
MAXORDEQ	1			4.			
OUTOPT	21			940825170335.0	940905170335.0	450.0	
END							
FIN							

MOLNIYA OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL OGOPT	D#	(ATA	/GT			NSSC	9829
POTFIELD END FIN	1	6					
CONTROL	DC	,		•		NSSC	9829
EPOCH	20	•		790804.0	234212.0		3023
ELEMENTI	1	6	1	26572.176	0.699	63.2	
ELEMENT2	_	Ī	_	190.5	281.6	15.429	
OBSINPUT	15			790804234212.0	790807234212.0		
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT	-	_	_				
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV		25		10.	10.	10.	
END							
OGOPT							
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SPDRAG	0						
SCPARAM				12.5D-6	1250.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SPSRP	0						
SPGRVFRC	1	1	3	1.	1.	3.	
SPZONALS	4	3	8				
SPMDAILY	4	4	2				
MAXDEGEQ	1			8.			
MAXORDEQ	1			8.			
STATEPAR	3						
STATETAB	1	2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
DRAGPAR	_ 1						
DRAGPAR2	1	1					
DiGIGIANCE							
SOLRDPAR	ī	7					
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL	1	2	0	0.0			fire
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL	1	7	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	fir k
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END	1	2			0.0	1.0	ii a
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT	1 1	2	0		0.0	1.0	
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT	1 1 1	2	0	1.0	0.0	1.0	
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG	1 1	2	0		0.0	1.0	
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END	1 1 1	2	0	1.0	0.0	1.0	
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN	1 1 1 30	2 0	0 4 1	1.0			0020
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL	1 1 1 30	2 0	0 4 1	1.0 1.D-3	OUTPUT	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT	1 1 30	2 0 PHEM 2	0 4 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0	OUTPUT 234212.0		9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE	1 1 1 30	2 0	0 4 1	1.0 1.D-3	OUTPUT	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT	1 1 30 EF	2 0 PHEM 2	0 4 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0	OUTPUT 234212.0	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR	1 1 30 EF 1 5	2 0 PHEM 2	0 4 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0	OUTPUT 234212.0	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG	1 1 30 EF	2 0 PHEM 2	0 4 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0	OUTPUT 234212.0	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN	1 1 1 30 EF 1 5	2 0 0 PHEN 2 1	0 4 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0	OUTPUT 234212.0	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG	1 1 30 EF 1 5	2 0 0 PHEN 2 1	0 4 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG	1 1 1 30 EF 1 5	2 0 0 PHEN 2 1	0 4 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0	OUTPUT 234212.0	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG SCPARAM	1 1 30 EF 1 5 0	2 0 0 PHEN 2 1	0 4 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0 1.0	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG SCPARAM SOLRAD	1 1 1 30 EF 1 5 0 1	2 0 0 PHEN 2 1	0 4 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0 1.0	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG SCPARAM SOLRAD SPSRP	11 130 130 EF 15 01 0	2 0 PHEN 2 1	0 4 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0 1.0	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG SCPARAM SOLRAD SPSRP SOLRDPAR	11 130 130 EF 15 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1	2 0 PHEN 2 1	0 4 1 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0 1.0 12.5D-6 1.0	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC 172800.0	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG SCPARAM SOLRAD SPSRP SOLRDPAR SPGRVFRC	1 1 1 30 EF 1 5 0 1 0 0 1 4	2 0 PHEN 2 1 3	0 4 1 1 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0 1.0 12.5D-6 1.0	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC 172800.0	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG SCPARAM SOLRAD SPSRP SOLRDPAR SPGRVFRC SPZONALS	1 1 30 EF 1 5 0 1 0 0 1 4 4	2 0 PHEN 2 1 3	0 4 1 1 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0 1.0 12.5D-6 1.0	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC 172800.0	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG SCPARAM SOLRAD SPSRP SOLRDPAR SPSRP SOLRDPAR SPGRVFRC SPZONALS SPMDAILY MAXDEGEQ MAXORDEQ	1 1 30 EE 1 5 0 1 0 0 1 4 4 1	2 0 PHEN 2 1 3	0 4 1 1 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0 1.0 12.5D-6 1.0 1.	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC 172800.0	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG SCPARAM SOLRAD SPSRP SOLRDPAR SPGRVFRC SPZONALS SPMDAILY MAXDEGEQ MAXORDEQ OUTOPT	1 1 30 EE 1 5 0 1 0 0 1 4 4 1	2 0 PHEN 2 1 3	0 4 1 1 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0 1.0 12.5D-6 1.0	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC 172800.0	9829
SOLRDPAR SSTESTFL SSTAPGFL END DCOPT PRINTOUT CONVERG END FIN CONTROL OUTPUT ORBTYPE OGOPT DRAGPAR DRAG ATMOSDEN SPDRAG SCPARAM SOLRAD SPSRP SOLRDPAR SPSRP SOLRDPAR SPGRVFRC SPZONALS SPMDAILY MAXDEGEQ MAXORDEQ	1 1 30 EE 1 5 0 1 0 0 1 4 4 1 1	2 0 PHEN 2 1 3	0 4 1 1 1 1	1.0 1.D-3 790818.0 43200.0 1.0 12.5D-6 1.0 1.	OUTPUT 234212.0 1.0	NSSC 172800.0	9829

NEEC OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL	DC	2				VANGARD2	59001A
EPOCH				940826.0	073513.6735		
ELEMENT1 ELEMENT2	3	6	1	8177.913 251.8592	0.1522640 10.8368	32.8834 352.1515	
OBSINPUT	15			940826073514.0	940829073514.0		
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT				4.00	100	100.	
OBSDEV		22		100.	100. 10.	10.	
OBSDEV	24	25	26	10.	10.	10.	
END							
DCOPT	-						
PRINTOUT			4	1.D-4			
CONVERG	30		_	1.0-4			
END							
OGOPT	1			1.0			
DRAG			1	1.0			
ATMOSDEN	0		_				
SPDRAG	U			1.D-6	100.D0		
SCPARAM	1			1.0	100.50		
SOLRAD	0			1.0			
SPSRP SPGRVFRC		1	3	3.0	1.0	3.0	
SPZONALS			13	3.0		• • •	
SPMDAILY							
POTFIELD							
MAXDEGEQ				21.			
MAXORDEQ				21.			
RESONPRD				86400.0			
STATEPAR	3						
STATETAB	1	2	. 3	4.0	5.0	6.0	20
DRAGPAR	1						
DRAGPAR2	1	1					
SOLRDPAR	1						
SSTESTFL	1	2	0	0.0			
SSTAPGFL	1,	. 0	0	1.0	0.0	1.0	
END							
FIN							
CONTROL		PHE			OUTPUT	VANGARD2	59001A
OUTPUT	1			940905.	073514.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT							
DRAGPAR	0			1.0			
DRAG ATMOSDEN	. 1		1	1.0			
SPDRAG	0		_				
SCPARAM	Ŭ			1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SPSRP	0						
SOLRDPAR					•		
SPGRVFRC			3	3.0	1.0	3.0	
SPZONALS			13				
SPMDAILY		6	4				
POTFIELD		2					
MAXDEGEQ				21.			
MAXORDEQ	1			21.			
	,						
RESONPRE				86400.0	_		
OUTOPT				86400.0 940826073514.0	940905073514.0	450.0	
)				940905073514.0	450.0	

Appendix B

Optimal Deck Test Case Summaries

This appendix details information required to reproduce results for each of the optimal DSST input deck test cases described in this document. Specifically, the following information is given:

- The input decks required to generate the Cowell truth orbit. For cases starting with a two card elements set, SGP4 ephemeris generation decks are given, along with the Cowell DC and subsequent Cowell ephemeris generation decks that were used to fit the SGP4 ephemeris and produce the truth trajectory. For cases starting with DSST mean Keplerian elements, the DSST ephemeris generation decks used to convert the mean elements to osculating elements are given, along with the Cowell ephemeris generation decks which used the osculating elements as inputs to produce a truth trajectory. In all cases, output summaries are included to verify the reproduction of truth data.
- The optimal DSST DC input decks. Vital statistics from the DC output report are included to verify reproduction of the test cases. GTDS input decks and output summaries are also given for the comparison of the predicted "optimal" ephemerides to the truth data.

LACC Test Case

PROCEDURE:

- Start with mean Keplerian elements
 Propagate forward one day using DSST to obtain osculating elements
 Propagate osculating elements forward using Cowell theory to generate truth data

CONTROL	EP	HEM				Satname	1234567
EPOCH				820223.0	0.0		
ELEMENT1	3	6	1	6635.0814	0.010201164	64.9567	
ELEMENT2				228.6393	271.2229	88.164558	
OUTPUT	1	2	1	820224.0	0.0	43200.0	
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT							
SPSHPER	3						
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
POTFIELD	1	6					
MAXDEGEO	1			8.			
MAXORDEQ	1			8.			
END							
FIN							
CONTROL	EP	HEM			OUTPUT	Satname	1234567
OUTPUT	1	2	1	820302.0	0.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	2	1	1	60.0			
OGOPT							
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
POTFIELD	1	6					
MANDECEO							
MAXDEGEQ	1			21.0			
MAXORDEQ	1			21.0			
_	-				820302000000.0	450.0	
MAXORDEQ	1			21.0	820302000000.0	450.0	

TRUTH DATA GENERATION

COWELL EPHEMERIS OUTPUT SUMMARY:

GTDS FINAL REPORT

			SATELLITE NAME SATELLITE NUME: RUN REFERENCE: RUN EPOCH DATE RUN FINAL TIME TOTAL TIME OF : CAUSE OF TERMI	ER DATE FLIGHT	FEB FEB	NAME 1234567 23, 1982 24, 1982 27, 1982 3 DAYS ACT ON CENTRA	O HRS O HRS 8 HRS 8 HRS AL BODY	0 MINS 0 MINS 30 MINS 30 MINS	0.00000 SECONDS 0.00000 SECONDS 0.00000 SECONDS 0.00000 SECONDS	
					- FIN GO					
					*END CO	NDITIONS***	MEAN	OF 1950 0	EARTH EQUATOR	
		RAL BODY IS EA			0.20447	72358638768E-		Z 2	0.7984863339607982E+03	,
	x	0.5593199869		Y				_	-0.6524063462834691E+03	
	VX	-0.1067207195	5712157E+01	VY	0.29843	83598904645E-	-01	V2	-0.65240634628346918+01	•
			************	ECC	0 15022	93732194910E	LOO	INC	0.6460801957824047E+02	,
	SMA	0.5566864380		AP		87050241062E		MA	0.1987370536808272E+03	
	LAN	0.2119745942		P		18242491020E		SLR	0.5425722138440627E+04	
	EA	0.1961928616		APR		72706751044E			-0.1697681945498948E+04	
	PR	0.4680456054				16316352344E		TA	0.1938156243439430E+03	_
	APH	0.7513470675	5104418E+02	C3	-0.35801	103103223440	FU2	IA	0.19381962434394305+03	,
			4547778.07	DEC	0 71467	71499993070E	L01	VPA	0.9257533557949978E+02	,
	RA AZ	0.2856256354				07503189311E			0.7253197965800011B+01	
	AD.	0.154554001	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-						
				****	תראד מס	NDITIONS***				
		RAL BODY IS EA	ARTH (INERTIAL		IIAD CO	MDITIONS	MEAN	OF 1950 0	EARTH EQUATOR	
						46974834642E		Z 2	0.2825154370949725E+04	
	X	-0.3260654138		VY.		28473629827E		vz	0.6200975003201267E+01	
	vx	0.4586987250	J728033E+01	VI	0.42413	204/302302/E	-00	**	0.02009/300320120/E+02	•
	SMA	0.6628457212	222488E+04	ECC	0 89227	85978361727E	-02	INC	0.6483789425043533E+02	2
			-	AP		22445048535E		MA	0.1151619800839504E+03	
	LAN	0.2245064324		P		56897264069E		SLR	0.6627929480346489E+04	
	EA	0.1156229426		APR		01517394770E		PH	0.1911749072502062E+03	_
	.PR	0.6569312907				36162217285E		TA	0.1160830242166191E+03	-
- L	APH	0.3094635173	3947700E+03	, C3	-0.30067	3010221/2025	+02	1A	0.11608302421661912+03	٠.:
				DEC	0 25122	93544617055E	. 00	VPA	0.8953902904810165E+02	,
	RA	0.2372324679				33979560448E			0.7724793311207796E+01	
	AZ	0.280089735	5458445E+U2	RMAG	0.00540	233173004400	-04	VIMG	0.7724793311207796E+03	
				*** 5	ECTTONIN	G SUMMARY **	*			
			NUMBER OF SECT	_		1				
			NUMBER OF SECT			1				
SECTION	י פידע פי	זג ארטע דדי	ME OF CROSSING			TIME INTO FL	IGHT	CAUSE OF	CROSSING	
DECTION	CD4111			M S			S			
1	TR:	ARTH FEB					.000	IMPACT ON	CENTRAL BODY	
•	202		=:			-				

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

AN ORB-1 FILE HAS BEEN GENERATED

START TIME OF THE FILE = 820224000000.000

END TIME OF THE FILE = 820227083000.000

*** NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED= 0*

LACC OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL	DC	:				SATNAME	1234567
EPOCH				820224.0	0.0		
ELEMENT1	1	6	1	6628.457	0.0089	64.84	
ELEMENT2				224.51	271.89	115.16	
OBSINPUT	15			820224000000.0	820224013000.0		
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT							
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV	24	25	26	10.	10.	10.	
END							
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT	1		4				
CONVERG	30		1	1.D-4			
END							
OGOPT							
NCBODY	1						
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRC	1	1	1	3.0	1.0	3.0	
SPTESSLC	6	6	4	2.0	-10.0	10.0	
POTFIELD	1	6					
MAXDEGEQ	1			8.			
MAXORDEQ	1			8.			
STATEPAR	3						
STATETAB	1	2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
DRAGPAR	1						
DRAGPAR2	1	1					
SSTESTFL	1	2	0	0.0			
SSTAPGFL	1	0	0	1.0	6.0	0.0	
END							
FIN							
CONTROL		HEM			OUTPUT	SATNAME	1234567
OUTPUT	1	2	1	820224.	030000.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT							
NCBODY	1						
DRAGPAR	0						
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SCPARAM		- 2		1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRC			1		1.0	3.0	
SPTESSLC	-	6	4	2.0	-10.0	10.0	
POTFIELD		6		•			
MAXDEGEQ				8.			
MAXORDEQ OUTOPT	21			8.	020224020000 0	450.0	
END	21			820224000000.0	820224030000.0	430.0	
FIN							
FIN							

ITERATION NUMBER 6

			***	*********	******	***				
			*	POSITION ERROR RMS (M)	17.404205	*				
SATELLITE	SATNAME	1234567	*	CURRENT WEIGHTED RMS	0.11590288	*	START TIM	E 820224	0.000)
0			*	PREDICTED WEIGHTED RMS	0.11590311	*				
EPOCH	820224	0.000	*.	PREVIOUS WEIGHTED RMS	0.11590339	*	END TIME	820224	12230	.000
	•			SMALLEST WEIGHTED RMS	0.11590339	*				
COORD. SYSTEM	MEAN OF	1950.0	*	RELATIVE CHANGE IN RMS	4.40389818E-06	*	NO. OBS.	AVAILABLE	72	
coole. Dibia.			*	PENALTY	0.00000000	*				
CENTRAL BODY	EARTH		*	DC HAS CONVERGED		*	NO. OBS.	INCLUDED	72	
CD11101D 2021			*			*				
			**	*********	***********	***	NO. OBS.	ACCEPTED	72 100	PCT.

OBSERVATION SUMMARY BY TYPE

TYPE	x	Y	z	XDOT	YDOT	ZDOT
TOTAL NO.	12	12	12	12	12	12
NO. ACCEPTED	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	12 (100%)
WEIGHTED RMS	7.0606E-02	0.1319	8.8872E-02	0.1270	0.1428	0.1152
MEAN RESDUAL	0.5897	-0.1745	1.190	-0.4787	0.7263	0.2646
STANDARD DEV	7.036	13.19	8.807	1.176	1.229	1.122

				OBSERVAT:	ION SUMM	\RY	BY 20 DEGREE	TRUE ANOMAL	Y INTERVAL		
OINTERVAL	00-20		20-40	40-60	60-80		80-100	100-120	120-140	140-160	160-180
TOTAL NO.	Ð		6	6	0		6	6	0	6	6
NO. ACCEPTED	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	6
(100%)											
OINTERVAL	180-200		200-220	220-240	240-260		260-280	280-300	300-320	320-340	340-360
TOTAL NO.	0		6	6	0		6	6	0	6	6
NO. ACCEPTED	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	6
(100%)											

THERE ARE 7 SOLVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER OF DYNAMIC SOLVE PARAMETERS = 7
A H K P Q LAM CSUBD

NUMBER OF STATION LOCATIONS BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

NUMBER OF BIASES BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

NO FILES HAVE BEEN GENERATED

* NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED TO DATE = 0 *

COMPARE DECK FOR LACC:

SATNAME 1234567

CONTROL COMPARE
COMPOPT
CMPEPHEM 1102102 820224013000.0
END
FIN

820224030000.0

LACC COMPARE SUMMARY:

EPHEMERIS COMPARISON SUMMARY REPORT

Number of Points Compared

13

	M	INIMUM POSITION	DIFFERENCE	м	MAXIMUM POSITION DIFFERENCE			
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)		
RADIAL	820224	24500.000	8.498042E-04	820224	21500.000	2.135948E-02		
CROSS TRACK	820224	21500.000	1.065784E-02	820224	15230.000	4.588219E-02		
ALONG TRACK	820224	13000.000	5.055184E-03	820224	30000.000	1.013102E-01		
TOTAL	820224	13000.000	1.9040668-02	820224	30000.000	1.052537E-01		

	M.	INIMUM VELOCITY	DIFFERENCE	MAXIMUM VELOCITY DIFFERENCE		
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)
RADIAL	820224	22230.000	1.024987E-05	820224	30000.000	1.508931E-04
CROSS TRACK	820224	24500.000	3.131833E-06	820224	13730.000	5.871673E-05
ALONG TRACK	820224	24500.000	1.064040E-07	820224	13730.000	2.326795E-05
TOTAL	820224	20730.000	4.3303948-05	820224	30000.000	1.585244E-04

	POSITION RMS	VELOCITY RMS
	(km)	(km/sec)
RADIAL	1.2699E-02	7.2032E-05
CROSS TRACK	3.0955E-02	3.6669E-05
ALONG TRACK	5.6565E-02	1.3224E-05
TOTAL	6.5720E-02	8.1903E-05
	GTDS COMPARE	PROGRAM

PAGE

NORMAL COMPLETION OF JOB

MACC Test Case

PROCEDURE:

- 1) Start with mean Keplerian elements
 2) Propagate forward one day using DSST to obtain osculating elements
 3) Propagate osculating elements forward using Cowell theory to generate truth data

CONTROL EP	HEM				LNDSAT-4	8207201
EPOCH	820223.0			0.0		
ELEMENT1 3	6	1	7077.787	0.011542	98.250452	
ELEMENT2			158.15349	89.4	312.90205	
OUTPUT 1			820224.0	0.0	43200.0	
ORBTYPE 5	ī	1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT	_	_				
MAXDEGEQ 1			8			
MAXORDEQ 1			8			
DRAG 1			2			
SCPARAM			1.D-6	100.D0		
POTFIELD 1	6					
END						
FIN						
CONTROL EP	HEM			OUTPUT	LNDSAT-4	8207201
OUTPUT 1	2	1	820302.0	0.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE 2	1	1	60.0			
OGOPT						
DRAG 1			1			
ATMOSDEN		1				
MAXDEGEQ 1			21.0			
MAXORDEQ 1			21.0			
OUTOPT 1			820224000000.0	820302000000.0	450.0	
SCPARAM			1.D-6	100.D0		
POTFIELD 1	6					
END						
FIN						

COWELL EPHEMERIS OUTPUT SUMMARY:

GTDS FINAL REPORT

PAGE	2€

				SATELLIT	E NAM	E		I	NDSA'	T-4						
				SATELLIT	E NUM	BER			82	0720	1					
				RUN REFE	RENCE	DATE		F	EB :	23,	1982	0	HRS	0 MINS	0.00000	SECONDS
				RUN EPOC	H DAT	E		F	EB :	24,	1982	0	HRS	0 MINS	0.00000	SECONDS
				RUN FINA	L TIM	E		Ņ	IAR	2,	1982	0	HRS	0 MINS	0.00000	SECONDS
				TOTAL TI	ME OF	FLIGH	T			- (DAYS	0	HRS	0 MINS	0.0000	SECONDS
				CAUSE OF				5	PECI	FIE	TIME	OF F	LIGHT	REACHED		
							**	* * END	COND	ITI	ONS***					
	CENTE	AL BODY	TS EART	H (INE	RTIAL	SYSTE	EM)						MEAN	OF 1950.0	EARTH EQU	ATOR
	X	-0.63247					Y	0.207	2818	657	994322E	+04		z	0.226821744	3679385E+04
	vx			9485E+01			VY	0.323	5086	740	423296E	+00		vz	0.704737749	4503171E+01
	VA.	0.27055							_							
	SMA	0 70849	9864553	0947E+04			ECC	0.118	1967	691	217463E	-01		INC	0.982917537	9969750E+02
	LAN			.9553E+03			AP	0.699	5308	761	596635E	3+02		MA	0.310117617	1932150E+03
	EA			7889E+03			P	0.164	8610	790	422618E	10+3		SLR	0.708400883	7479219E+04
	PR			.7576E+04			APR				444318E			PH	0.623118250	6175765E+03
	APH			3181E+03			C3				880213E			TA	0.309071955	5792481E+03
	APH	0.79060	3040444	31015-03	•			0.20								
		0 16185	4305431	7003E+03		4	DEC	0 188	11864	707	692 8 96E	3+02		VPA	0.905218589	4543646E+02
	RA			9003E+03			RMAG				318168E			VMAG	0.755737216	2575918E+01
	AZ	0.35123	638/8/2	30035+03	,		KUMG	0.703	,1023	300	J101001	3104			***************************************	
							TN	ITIAL	COND	ITI	ONS					
	CENTR	RAL BODY	TC FADT	MH (TNI	. זבדדמי	SYST							MEAN	OF 1950.0	EARTH EQU	JATOR
	X			35991E+04			Y Y	-0.195	6779	793	7079551	3+04		z	-0.643937134	4275231E+04
	VX)5597E+01			VY				1871771			VZ	-0.308085589	6509433E+01
	VA	-0.04364	1203370	155716401	•		• •	0.20.	.,							
	SMA	0 70730	4403503	79919E+04	ı		ECC	0.126	55314	835	9775681	3-01		INC	0.983186180	5268920E+02
	LAN			2450E+03			AP				7537351			MA	0.161612539	9350340E+03
	EA			9561E+03			P				7550101			SLR	0.707091178	5530140E+04
	PR ·			97187E+04			APR				4626511			PH	0.604422412	6971867E+03
	APH			26515E+03			, C3				791043			TA		5221004E+03
	APH	0.76336	355740	263136+03	•			,-0.20.								
	RA	0 22121	4202121	34352E+03			DEC	-0 64	12151	715	9215033	E+02		VPA	0.897740119	6957886E+02
	AZ			32684E+03							8830231			VMAG	0.741779326	6916529E+01
	AL	0.1993:	004432/0	2004270.	,		10210	0.72	.,,,,,							
							***	SECTION	NING	SUM	MARY *	**				
				NUMBER (OF SEC	TIONS				1	_					
				NUMBER (1						
SECTION	CENTO	AT. BODY	TIME	OF CROSS					TI	ME	INTO F	LIGHT	r	CAUSE OF	CROSSING	
32011014	CLINIA		- 1112		н	м	s			H	М	S				
1	E:	ARTH	MAR	2	0	0	0.000		14		0	0.000)	SPECIFIE	D TIME OF FL	IGHT REACHED
-	134			-	-	-	3.230			-						

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

AN ORB-1 FILE HAS BEEN GENERATED
START TIME OF THE FILE = 820224000000.000
END TIME OF THE FILE = 820302000000.000

*** NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED= 0**

MACC OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL	D	2				LNDSAT-4	8207201
EPOCH	-			820224.0	0.0		
ELEMENT1	3	6	1	7077.8	0.0011	98.2	
ELEMENT2	-	_	_	158.1	89.4	176.0	
OBSINPUT	15			820224000000.0	820227000000.0		
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT							
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV		25		10.	10.	10.	
END							
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT	1		4				
CONVERG	30		1	1.D-4			
END			_				
OGOPT							
DRAG	1			1			
ATMOSDEN			1	-			
SPDRAG	0		-				
SCPARAM	٠			1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRC	1	1	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	
SPGRVFRC			11	3.0	3.0		
SPMDAILY							
POTFIELD	_	-					
				21.			
MAXDEGEQ				21.			
MAXORDEQ RESONPRD				86400.0			
				86400.0			
STATEPAR				4.0	5.0	6.0	
STATETAB			. 3	4.0	3.0	0.0	
DRAGPAR	1						
DRAGPAR2							
SSTESTFL					0.0	0.0	
SSTAPGFL	1			1.0	0.0	0.0	
END							
FIN	_				OUTPUT	LNDSAT-4	8207201
CONTROL	_	PHE			0.0	86400.0	020/201
OUTPUT	1		1	820302. 43200.0	1.0	00400.0	
ORBTYPE	5	1	. 1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT	_						
DRAG	1			1			
DRAGPAR	. 0						
ATMOSDEN			1	Associated and the second			4.0
SPDRAG	0				100.D0		
SCPARAM		_		1.D-6	3.0	3.0	
SPGRVFRC				3.0	3.0	3.0	
SPZONALS			11				
SPMDAILY		_	_				
POTFIELD			•	21			
MAXDEGEO	-			21.			
MAXORDEC		•		21.			
RESONPRI				86400.0	820302000000.0	450.0	
OUTOPT	21	•		820224000000.0	020302000000.0	430.0	
END							
FIN							

ITERATION NUMBER 7

		*******	*******	
SATELLITE	LNDSAT-4 8207201	* POSITION ERROR RMS (M) * CURRENT WEIGHTED RMS * PREDICTED WEIGHTED RMS * PREVIOUS WEIGHTED RMS	76.510799 * 0.45418732 * 0.45580603 * 0.45582550 *	START TIME 820224 0.000 END TIME 820226 235230.000
COORD. SYSTEM	MEAN OF 1950.0	* SMALLEST WEIGHTED RMS * RELATIVE CHANGE IN RMS * PENALTY	0.45582550 * 3.59387939E-03 * 0.00000000 *	NO. OBS. AVAILABLE 3456
CENTRAL BODY	EARTH	* DC HAS CONVERGED	* * *********	NO. OBS. INCLUDED 3456 NO. OBS. ACCEPTED 3423 99 PCT

OBSERVATION SUMMARY BY TYPE

576

NO. ACCEPTED WEIGHTED RMS MEAN RESDUAL STANDARD DEV	0.3981	576 (100%) 0.3822 0.2098 38.22	575 (99%) 0.4861 0.4400 48.61	560 (97%) 0.4854 9.6646E-02 4.853	0.3506	567 (98%) 0.5407 -3.1819E-02 5.407			
			OBSERVAT	ION SUMMARY	BY 20 DEGREE	TRUE ANOMAL	Y INTERVAL		
OINTERVAL	00-20	20-40	40-60	60-80	80-100	100-120	120-140	140-160	160-180
TOTAL NO.	156	168	198	234	204	168	156	192	228
NO. ACCEPTED	156 (100%)	167 (99%)	197 (99%)	234 (100%)	204 (100%)	166 (98%)	153 (98%)	191 (99%)	225 (
98%)									
OINTERVAL	180-200	200-220	220-240	240-260	260-280	280-300	300-320	320-340	340-360
TOTAL NO.	240	204	174	168	174	216	228	192	156
NO. ACCEPTED 99%)	237 (98%)	202 (99%)	172 (98%)	165 (98%)	171 (98%)	213 (98%)	225 (98%)	190 (98%)	155 (

THERE ARE 7 SOLVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER OF DYNAMIC SOLVE PARAMETERS = 7
A H K P Q LAM CSUBL

NUMBER OF STATION LOCATIONS BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

NUMBER OF BIASES BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

NO FILES HAVE BEEN GENERATED

* NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED TO DATE = 0 *

COMPARE DECK FOR MACC:

LNDSAT-4 8207201

CONTROL COMPARE
COMPOPT
CMPEPHEM 1102102 820227000000.0
END
FIN

820302000000.0

MACC COMPARE SUMMARY:

EPHEMERIS COMPARISON SUMMARY REPORT

Number of Points Compared

	M	INIMUM POSITION	DIFFERENCE	MAXIMUM POSITION DIFFERENCE			
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)	
RADIAL	820228	94500.000	1.374394E-03	820227	184500.000	9.115588E-02	
CROSS TRACK	820301	141500.000	5.420682E-04	820301	21500.000	8.921743E-02	
ALONG TRACK	820227	163000.000	2.999669E-03	820301	193000.000	3.680619E-01	
TOTAL	820227	163000.000	1.286429E-02	820301	193000.000	3.764689E-01	
	м	INIMUM VELOCITY	DIFFERENCE	м	AXIMUM VELOCITY	DIFFERENCE	

	14.	INIMON VEDOCITI	DIII DIIDII CD					
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)		
RADIAL	820228	81500.000	5.361974E-06	820301	124500.000	3.909677E-04		
CROSS TRACK	820228	21500.000	5.331724E-07	820227	43000.000	1.131149E-04		
ALONG TRACK	820228	163000.000	3.636120E-08	820228	73000.000	9.822645E-05		
TOTAL	820227	133000.000	2.279860E-05	820301	124500.000	3.942775E-04		

	POSITION RM	S	VELOCITY RMS
	(km)		(km/sec)
RADIAL	4.02448-02		2.0643E-04
CROSS TRACK	3.2424E-02		3.3844E-05
ALONG TRACK	1.8994E-01		4.4235E-05
TOTAL	1.9685E-01		2.1381E-04
	GTDS COMPARE	PROGRAM	

PAGE

NORMAL COMPLETION OF JOB

HACC Test Case

PROCEDURE:

- 1) Start with 2-card element set
- 2) Propagate 2-card element set forward using SGP4 to obtain SGP4-based ephemeris
 3) Fit Cowell theory to SGP4-based ephemeris
 4) Propagate Cowell DC solve-for vector forward to produce truth data

CONTROL	E	HEM				EXPLORER	65032A
EPOCH				940826.0	074853.5101		
ELEMENT1	8	18	1	13.37418275	0.0247108	41.1904	
ELEMENT2				349.3346	66.5461	296.1065	
ELEMENT3				-0.00000029	0.000000	0.000091	
OUTPUT	1	2	1	940831.0	074854.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	14	1	8	1.0			
OGOPT							
POTFIELD	1	7					
OUTOPT	1			940826074854.0	940831074854.0	450.0	
END							
RIN							

SGP4 EPHEMERIS OUTPUT SUMMARY:

GTDS FINAL REPORT

PAGE 12

SATELLITE NAME	EXPLORER		
SATELLITE NUMBER	65032		
RUN REFERENCE DATE	AUG 26, 1994	O HRS O MINS	0.00000 SECONDS
RUN EPOCH DATE	AUG 26, 1994	7 HRS 48 MINS	53.51010 SECONDS
RUN FINAL TIME	AUG 31, 1994	7 HRS 48 MINS	54.00000 SECONDS
TOTAL TIME OF FLIGHT	5 DAYS	O HRS O MINS	0.48990 SECONDS
CAUSE OF TERMINATION	SPECIFIED TIME (F FLIGHT REACHED	

MEAN OF 1950.0 -- EARTH EQUATOR

						***END	CONDITIONS**
CENTR	AL BODY	IS	EARTH	(INERTIAL	SYSTEM)		
x	0.4887	549	3068743	Y	-0.54	9869279404493	

X	0.4887549306874350E+04	Y	-0.5498692794044931E+04		Z	-0.1768913280088411E+04
VX	0.4786071196055583E+01	. VY	0.3047635044022132E+01	100	VZ	0.4475570612493452E+01
-		`200	0.2499062301383419E-01		INC	0.4120299091118378E+02
SMA	0.7501908902328932E+04	ECC	******************			***************************************
LAN	0.3279770705618683E+03	AP	0.9098909633714638E+02		MA	0.2511718748703972E+03
EA	0.2498278467174672E+03	P	0.1796246619168853E+01		ŞLR	0.7497223725870149E+04
PR	0.7314431525066702E+04	APR	0.7689386279591161E+04		PH	0.9362965250667021E+03
APH	0.1311251279591161E+04	C3	-0.2656662492104218E+02		TA	0.2484894377614734E+03
RA	0.3122485301361856E+03	DEC	-0.1335140772811090E+02		VPA	0.9134420137012032E+02
AZ	0.5064941371860270E+02	RMAG	0.7566558982575450E+04		VMAG	0.7226706663664657E+01

INITIAL CONDITIONS

CENTR	AL BODY IS EARTH (INERTIAL	SYSTEM)		"NORAD" TRUE OF	REF EARTH EQUATOR
x	0.7294468899368215E+04	Y	-0.1366234653003144E+04	Z	0.6456847303414492E+01
vx	0.8537442543527309E+00	VY	0.5478661153568532E+01	VZ	0.4850032676429656E+01
SMA	0.7499106169847256E+04	ECC	0.2471080000000148E-01	INC	0.4119040000000000E+02
LAN	0.349334600000000E+03	AP	0.6654609999999940E+02	MA	0.2961065000000007E+03
EA	0.2948214689775155E+03	P	0.1795240089651203B+01	SLR	0.7494527038366274E+04
PR	0.7313797257105383E+04	APR	0.7684415082589128E+04	PH	0.9356622571053831E+03
APH	0.1306280082589128E+04	C3	-0.2657655399004164E+02	TA	0.2935295945886971E+03
RA	0.3493915621046252E+03	DEC	0.4984967616062881E-01	VPA	0.9128520794121377E+02
AZ	0.4880962477968625E+02	RMAG	0.7421314933473303E+04	VMAG	0.7366642671522530E+01

*** SECTIONING SUMMARY ***

NUMBER OF SECTIONS SCHEDULED =

			NUMBER OF	s	ECTIONS	COMPLETED	-	1			
SECTION	CENTRAL BODY	TIME	OF CROSSI	NG				TIME	INTO	FLIGHT	CAUSE OF CROSSING
				Н	M	S		H	M	S	
•	12 A TOTALE	2110	21	~	40	SA DOD		120	^	0.400	CORCIPIED TIME OF PLICUT PEACUE!

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

AN ORB-1 FILE HAS BEEN GENERATED START TIME OF THE FILE =
END TIME OF THE FILE = 940826074854.000 940831074854.000

*** NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED=

COWELL DC & EPHEM DECK (FIT TO SGP4-BASED EPHEMERIS) TO GENERATE TRUTH DATA:

CONTROL	DC					EXPLORER	65032A
EPOCH	-			940826.0	074853.5101		
ELEMENT1	1	2	1	7502.98	0.02566	41.2	
ELEMENT2	_	_	_	349.0	65.1	297.22	
OBSINPUT	15			940826074854.0	940831074854.0		
ORBTYPE	2	1	1	60.0			
DMOPT	•	-	-	55.5			
OBSDEV	21	22	23	500.0	500.0	500.0	
OBSDEV	24			50.0	50.0	50.0	
END				,			
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT	1		4				
CONVERG	30		1	1.D-3			
END			-				
OGOPT							
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN	_		1				
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
POTFIELD		2					
MAXDEGEO	1			21.0			
MAXORDEO	1			21.0			
STATEPAR	1						
STATETAB	1	2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
DRAGPAR	1						
SOLRDPAR	1						
END							
FIN							
CONTROL	EF	HEM	l		OUTPUT	EXPLORER	65032A
OUTPUT	1	2	1	940903.0	074854.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	2	1	1	60.0			
OGOPT							
DRAG	1			1.0			
DRAGPAR	0						
ATMOSDEN			1				
SCPARAM		- 1		1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SOLRDPAR	0				•		
POTFIELD		2					
MAXDEGEQ				21.0			
MAXORDEQ				21.0			
OUTOPT	22	2	1	940826074854.0	940903074854.0		
END							
FIN							

COWELL EPHEMERIS OUTPUT SUMMARY:

ITERATION NUMBER 5

			******	********	*******	*******	***		
			* POSI	TION ERROR RA	MS (M) 42	8.11032	*		
SATELLITE	EXPLO	ER 65032		ENT WEIGHTED		5208753	* START	TIME 940826	75624.000
J D			* PRED	ICTED WEIGHT	ED RMS 0.4	5480147	•		
EPOCH	940826	74853.510		IOUS WEIGHTE		5491167	* END TI	ME 940831	74854.000
ar our	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		LEST WEIGHTE		5491167	*		
COORD, SYS	TEM MEAN	OF 1950.0	* RELA	TIVE CHANGE	IN RMS 6.2	0810804E-03	* NO. OBS	S. AVAILABLE	5760
COO. 515	120.		* PENA			0000000	*		
CENTRAL BO	DY EARTH			AS CONVERGED			* NO. OBS	S. INCLUDED	5760
CENTIONE DO			*				*		
			*****	******	*******	*******	*** NO. OB	S. ACCEPTED !	5732 99 PCT
				OBSERVA:	TION SUMMARY	BY TYPE			
TYPE	x	Y	z	XDOT	YDOT	ZDOT			
TOTAL NO.	960	960	960	960	960	960			
NO. ACCEPTED	949 (98%)	948 (98%)	955 (99%)	960 (100%)	960 (100%)	960 (100%)			
WEIGHTED RMS	0.5014	0.4876	0.4891	0.4272	0.3988	0.3968			
MEAN RESDUAL	-69.59	-129.6	144.0	-2.610	0.4184	2.070			
STANDARD DEV	240.9	206.5	197.7	21.20	19.94	19.73			
			OBSERVAT	ION SUMMARY I	BY 20 DEGREE	TRUE ANOMAL	Y INTERVAL		
OINTERVAL	00-20	20-40	40-60	60-80	80-100	100-120	120-140	140-160	160-180
TOTAL NO.	306	306	318	336	318	324	318	318	342
NO. ACCEPTED	306 (100%)	306 (100%)	318 (100%)	336 (100%)	318 (100%)	321 (99%)	317 (99%)	315 (99%)	342
(100%)									
OINTERVAL	180-200	200-220	220-240	240-260	260-280	280-300	300-320	320-340	340-360
TOTAL NO.	336	330	324	318	306	312	336	306	306
NO. ACCEPTED (100%)	332 (98%)	327 (99%)	320 (98%)	317 (99%)	304 (99%)	308 (98%)	334 (99%)	305 (99%)	306

THERE ARE 8 SOLVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER OF DYNAMIC SOLVE PARAMETERS = 8
X Y Z VX VY VZ RHO1 SOLRAI

NUMBER OF STATION LOCATIONS BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

NUMBER OF BIASES BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

NO FILES HAVE BEEN GENERATED

* NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED TO DATE * 5

HACC OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL EPOCH	DC			940826.0	074853.5101	EXPLORER	65032A
ELEMENT1 ELEMENT2 OBSINPUT	3 15	6	1	7498.0 349.3346 940826074854.0	0.0247108 66.5461 940828074854.0	41.1904 296.1065	
ORBTYPE DMOPT	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV	24			10.	10.	10.	
END	27	2,		10.	20.		
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT	1		4				
CONVERG	30		i	1.D-4			
END	-		-	1.5 .			
OGOPT							
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SPSRP	ō						
SCPARAM	٠			1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRC	1	1	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	
SPZONALS	_	_	11	3.0		*	
SPMDAILY		8	2				
POTFIELD		2	•				
MAXDEGEO		-		21.			
MAXORDEO				21.			
RESONPRD	-			86400.0			
STATEPAR	3			50400.0			
STATETAB		2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
SOLRDPAR	_	-	-	1.0	3.0		
SSTESTFL		2	0	0.0			
SSTAPGFL	_	ō	ō	0.0	0.0	1.0	
END	•	٠	٠	0.0			
FIN							
CONTROL	EP	HEN	4		OUTPUT	EXPLORER	65032A
OUTPUT	1	2	1	940831.	074854.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT	-						
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SPSRP	0						
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRDPAR	0						
SPGRVFRC	1	1	- 3	3.0	3.0	3.0	
SPZONALS	8	2	11		***		
SPMDAILY	8	8	2				
POTFIELD	1	2					
MAXDEGEO	1			21.			
MAXORDEQ				21.			
RESONPRD				86400.0			
OUTOPT	21			940826074854.0	940831074854.0	450.0	
END							
FIN							

ITERATION NUMBER 4

		**********	*******	
		* POSITION ERROR RMS (M) * CURRENT WEIGHTED RMS	48.705827 -· * 0.28884820 *	START TIME 940826 74954.000
SATELLITE	EXPLORER 65032	* CURRENT WEIGHTED RMS * PREDICTED WEIGHTED RMS	0.28884820	SIARI IIME 940020 74554.000
EPOCH	940826 74853.510	* PREVIOUS WEIGHTED RMS	0.30075980 *	END TIME 940828 74854.000
БРОСП	34020	* SMALLEST WEIGHTED RMS	0.30075980 *	
COORD. SYSTEM	MEAN OF 1950.0	 RELATIVE CHANGE IN RMS 	3.96050355E-02 *	NO. OBS. AVAILABLE ****
		* PENALTY	0.00000000 *	
CENTRAL BODY	EARTH	 DC HAS CONVERGED 	*	NO. OBS. INCLUDED ****
		•	*	
		*******	************	NO. OBS. ACCEPTED **** 99 PCT

OBSERVATION SUMMARY BY TYPE

TYPE	x	¥	Z	XDOT	YDOT	ZDOT
TOTAL NO	2880	2880	2880	2880	2880	2880
NO. ACCEPTED	2851 (98%)	2878 (99%)	2880 (100%)	2815 (97%)	2861 (99%)	2880 (100%)
WEIGHTED RMS		0.3243	0.2218	0.2951	0.3432	0.2431
MEAN RESDUAL		0.3899	0.4194	8.5625E-02	-4.9562B-02	-6.2918E-02
		32.42	22.18	2.950	3.432	2.430

THERE ARE 7 SOLVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER OF DYNAMIC SOLVE PARAMETERS = 7
A H K P Q LAM SOLRAD

NUMBER OF STATION LOCATIONS BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

NUMBER OF BIASES BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

NO FILES HAVE BEEN GENERATED

* NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED TO DATE * 0 *

COMPARE DECK FOR HACC:

CONTROL COMPARE COMPOPT COMPEPHEM 1102102 940828074854.0 END FIN

EXPLORER 65032A

HACC COMPARE SUMMARY:

EPHEMERIS COMPARISON SUMMARY REPORT

Number of Points Compared

97

	M:	INIMUM POSITION	DIFFERENCE	MAXIMUM POSITION DIFFERENCE		
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)
RADIAL	940829	181854.000	1.677306E-03	940831	23354.000	7.173356E-02
CROSS TRACK	940828	220354.000	1.377805E-04	940831	40354.000	5.726527E-02
ALONG TRACK	940828	211854.000	3.657224E-05	940830	134854.000	2.025899E-01
TOTAL	940828	220354.000	4.484148E-03	940830	134854.000	2.033114E-01
	M:	INIMUM VELOCITY	DIFFERENCE	м	AXIMUM VELOCITY	difference
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)
RADIAL	940828	190354.000	2.955573E-07	940830	121854.000	1.702762E-04
CROSS TRACK	940831	23354.000	2.772951E-08	940831	74854.000	4.844473E-05
ALONG TRACK	940828	91854.000	6.024580E-08	940830	181854.000	6.031790E-05
TOTAL.	940828	211854.000	1.714331E-05	940830	121854.000	1.7346378-04

	POSITION RMS		VELOCITY RMS
	(km)		(km/sec)
RADIAL	2.8012E-02		7.0302E-05
CROSS TRACK	2.6375E-02		2.5504E-05
ALONG TRACK	7.1981E-02		2.8725E-05
TOTAL	8.1619E-02		8.0112E-05
	GTDS COMPARE	PROGRAM	

PAGE

1

NORMAL COMPLETION OF JOB

GEO Test Case

PROCEDURE:

- 1) Start with 2-card element set
- 2) Propagate 2-card element set forward using SGP4 to obtain SGP4-based ephemeris
 3) Fit Cowell theory to SGP4-based ephemeris
 4) Propagate Cowell DC solve-for vector forward to produce truth data

CONTROL	EF	HEM	1			BS3A	20771
EPOCH				940825.0	170334.9932		
ELEMENT1	8	18	1	1.00266891	0.0003102	0.0467	
ELEMENT2	-			243.1923	288.7716	167.5966	
ELEMENT3				0.00000000	0.00000	0.00000	
OUTPUT	1	2	1	940905.0	170335.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	14	1	8	1.0			
OGOPT							
POTFIELD	1	7					
OUTOPT	1			940825170335.0	940905170335.0	450.0	
END							
FIN							

SGP4 EPHEMERIS OUTPUT SUMMARY:

GTDS FINAL REPORT

PAGE 13

SATELLITE NAME	BS3A				
SATELLITE NUMBER	20771				
RUN REFERENCE DATE	AUG 25, 1994	0 HRS 0	MINS	0.00000	SECONDS
RUN EPOCH DATE	AUG 25, 1994	17 HRS 3	MINS	34.99320	SECONDS
RUN FINAL TIME	SEPT 5, 1994	17 HRS 3	MINS	35.00000	SECONDS
TOTAL TIME OF FLIGHT	11 DAYS	O HRS O	MINS	0.00680	SECONDS
CAUSE OF TERMINATION	SPECIFIED TIME	OF FLIGHT REAC	HED		

	ENTR	AL BODY IS EARTH (INERTIAL		**END CONDITIONS***	MEAN OF 1950.0	EARTH EQUATOR
3		0.4147498563686865E+05	Y	-0.7679518537839341E+04	Ż	-0.1767766902439355E+03
	7X	0.5596246403209475E+00	VY	0.3022273313587103E+01	vz	-0.3373151171135438E-02
				and the control of the control of the	and the second second	and the second of the second
9	SMA	0.4216876442281726E+05	ECC	0.2746131686644057E-03	INC	0.2109068722704865E-01
1	AN	0.1874391381048706E+03	AP	0.3449220111341053E+03	MA.	0.1777193918337379E+03
-	EA.	0.1777200177816512E+03	P	0.2393837128664726E+02	SLR	0.4216876124276986E+05
	PR	0.4215718432480045E+05	APR	0.4218034452083408E+05	PH	0.3577904932480045E+05
	APH	0.3580220952083408E+05	C3	-0.4726256572321093E+01	TA	0.1777206436437087E+03
,	R.A.	0.3500817939879975E+03	DEC	0.6291991122203051E-02	VPA	0.8999937405206303E+02
	AZ	0.9002013027414259E+02	RMAG		VMAG	0.3073650453186145E+01

CENTRAL BODY IS EARTH (INERTIAL	***IN	ITIAL CONDITIONS***	"NORAD" TRUE OF	REF EARTH EQUATOR
CENTRAL BODY IS EARTH (INERTIAL X 0.3952629838700647E+05	Y Y	-0.1472472717593263E+05	z	0.3416690188895451E+02
VX 0.1073172496565432E+01	VY	0.2880181807058570E+01	vz	-0.2780373401265742E-03
SMA 0.4216716110762471E+05	BCC	0.3101999999597714E-03	INC	0.46700000000000000E-01
LAN 0.2431922999999999E+03	AP	0.2887715999999954E+03	MA	0.1675966000000055E+03
EA 0.1676004163972050E+03	P	0.2393700604426692E+02	SLR	0.4216715705013011E+05
PR 0.4215408085425082E+05	APR	0.4218024136099860E+05	PH	0.35775945854250812+05
APH 0.3580210636099859E+05	C3	-0.4726436278015460E+01	TA	0.1676042322164656E+03
RA 0.3395681343168720E+03	DEC	0.4641115339300120E-01	VPA	0.8999618360262247E+02
AZ 0.9000518602416244E+02	RMAG	0.4217993624890280E+05	VMAG	0.3073621077231286E+01

*** SECTIONING SUMMARY ***

NUMBER OF SECTIONS SCHEDULED = NUMBER OF SECTIONS COMPLETED =

SECTION CENTRAL BODY	TIME OF CROSSING			TIME	INTO	FLIGHT	CAUSE OF	CROSSING
	н	M	S	H	М	S		

SPECIFIED TIME OF FLIGHT REACHED EARTH 17 3 35.000 264 0 0.007

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

AN ORB-1 FILE HAS BEEN GENERATED START TIME OF THE FILE = 94082 END TIME OF THE FILE = 94090 940825170335.000 940905170335.000

*** NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED=

COWELL DC & EPHEM DECK (FIT TO SGP4-BASED EPHEMERIS) TO GENERATE TRUTH DATA:

CONTROL	DC	2				BS3A	20771
EPOCH				940825.0	170334.9932		
ELEMENT1	1	2	1	42167.16	0.000275	0.23	
ELEMENT2				97.6	75.3	166.09	
OBSINPUT	15			940825170335.0	940904170335.0		
ORBTYPE	2	1	1	60.0			
DMOPT							
OBSDEV	21	22	23	500.0	500.0	500.0	
OBSDEV	24	25	26	50.0	50.0	50.0	
END							
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT	1		4				
CONVERG	30		1	1.D-3			
END							
OGOPT							
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
POTFIELD	1	2					
MAXDEGEQ	1			21.0			
MAXORDEQ	1			21.0			
STATEPAR	1						
STATETAB	1	2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
SOLRDPAR	1						
END							
FIN							20771
CONTROL	E	PHE			OUTPUT	BS3A	20//1
OUTPUT	1			940905.0	170335.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	2	1	. 1	60.0			
OGOPT							
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRDPAR							
POTFIELD			!				
MAXDEGEC	-			21.0			
MAXORDEC				21.0			
OUTOPT	21			940825170335.0	940905170335.0	450.0	
END							
FIN							

COWELL EPHEMERIS OUTPUT SUMMARY:

ITERATION NUMBER 3

			***	******	******	****					
			*	POSITION ERROR RMS (M)	2453.3437	*					
SATELLITE	BS3A	20771	*	CURRENT WEIGHTED RMS	1.9976620	*	STAI	RT TIM	E 940825	171105.000	
			*	PREDICTED WEIGHTED RMS	2.5423798	*					
EPOCH	940825 170	334.993	•	PREVIOUS WEIGHTED RMS	2.5424042	*	END	TIME	940904	170335.000	
			*	SMALLEST WEIGHTED RMS	2.5424042	*					
COORD. SYSTEM	MEAN OF 19	50.0	*	RELATIVE CHANGE IN RMS	0.21426264	*	NO.	OBS.	AVAILABLE	****	
			•	PENALTY	0.00000000	*					
CENTRAL BODY	EARTH		*	DC HAS CONVERGED		*	NO.	OBS.	INCLUDED	****	
			*			*					
			1	*******	*******	****	NO.	OBS.	ACCEPTED '	**** 97 PCT	

OBSERVATION SUMMARY BY TYPE

TYPE	x	Y	Z	XDOT	YDOT	ZDOT
TOTAL NO.	1920	1920	1920	1920	1920	1920
NO. ACCEPTED	1876 (97%)	1920 (100%)	1698 (88%)	1920 (100%)	1920 (100%)	1920 (100%)
WEIGHTED RMS	2.180	2.191	3.943	0.1615	0.1515	0.3833
MEAN RESDUAL	-113.6	-2.593	-102.3	0.1289	-0.1550	-0.1233
STANDARD DEV	1084.	1095.	1969.	8.075	7.574	19.17

OINTERVAL TOTAL NO. NO. ACCEPTED	00-20 690 690 (100%)	20-40 684 682 (99%)	OBSERVAT 40-60 684 670 (97%)	ION SUMMARY 60-80 678 649 (95%)	BY 20 DEGREE 80-100 672 633 (94%)	TRUE ANOMAL 100-120 660 621 (94%)	Y INTERVAL 120-140 630 599 (95%)	140-160 594 594 (100%)	160-180 564 564
(100%) 0INTERVAL TOTAL NO. NO. ACCEPTED (100%)	180-200	200-220	220-240	240-260	260-280	280-300	300-320	320-340	340-360
	582	588	612	612	630	636	648	672	684
	582 (100%)	584 (99%)	602 (98%)	596 (97%)	602 (95%)	607 (95%)	629 (97%)	666 (99%)	684

THERE ARE 7 SOLVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER OF DYNAMIC SOLVE PARAMETERS = 7
X Y Z VX VY VZ SOLRAD

NUMBER OF STATION LOCATIONS BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

NUMBER OF BIASES BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

NO FILES HAVE BEEN GENERATED

* NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED TO DATE = 0 *

GEOSYNCHRONOUS OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL	D	2				BS3A	20771
EPOCH	_	_		940825.0	170334.9932		
ELEMENT1	1	6	1	42167.16	0.000275	0.23	
ELEMENT2	-	·	_	97.6	75.3	166.09	
OBSINPUT	15			940825170335.0	940828170335.0		
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT	_	-	-	1010000			
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV			26	10.	10.	10.	
END							
DCOPT					•		
PRINTOUT	1		4				
CONVERG	30		ī	1.D-4			
END	-		-				
OGOPT							
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SCPARAM	-			1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRC	1	3	3	2.0	1.0	3.0	
SPNUMGRV	_	_		2.0	2.0	3600.0	
POTFIELD							
MAXDEGEO		_		4.			
MAXORDEO				4.			
STATEPAR							
STATETAB	1	. 2	. 3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
SOLRDPAR	. 1						
SSTESTFL	. 1	. 2	. 0	0.0		•	
SSTAPGFL	. 1	. 0	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
END							
FIN							
CONTROL	E	PHE	M		OUTPUT	BS3A	20771
OUTPUT	1	. 2	1	940905.	170335.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	5	3	1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT							
SOLRAD	1	Ļ		1.0			
SOLRDPAR	١ ()					
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SPGRVFRO	: 1		3 3		1.0	3.0	
SPNUMGRV		7 1		2.0	2.0	3600.0	
POTFIELI			2				
MAXDEGE		L		4.			
MAXORDE	-	L.		4.	040005450335 3	450.0	
OUTOPT	2:	L		940825170335.0	940905170335.0	450.0	
END							
FIN							

ITERATION NUMBER 4

			**	********	**********	***					
			*	POSITION ERROR RMS (M)	5.1035665	*					
SATELLITE	BS3A	20771		CURRENT WEIGHTED RMS	2.20243188E-02	*	STAI	RT TIM	E 940825	17110	5.000
GALDULLIO			*	PREDICTED WEIGHTED RMS	2.20766417E-02	*					
EPOCH	940825	170334.993	*	PREVIOUS WEIGHTED RMS	2.207674518-02	*	END	TIME	940828	17033	5.000
Broch	,,,,,,		*	SMALLEST WEIGHTED RMS	2.20767451E-02	*					
COORD. SYSTEM	MEAN OF	F 1950.0	*	RELATIVE CHANGE IN RMS	2.37472948E-03	*	NO.	OBS.	AVAILABLE	3456	
COORD. DIDIE.			*	PENALTY	0.00000000	*					
CENTRAL BODY	EARTH		*	DC HAS CONVERGED		*	NO.	OBS.	INCLUDED	3456	
Carrage Bost			*			*					
			**	*******	***********	***	NO.	OBS.	ACCEPTED	3454 9	9 PCT

OBSERVATION SUMMARY BY TYPE

TYPE	x	Y	Z	XDOT	YDOT	ZDOT
TOTAL NO.	576	576	576	576	576	576
NO. ACCEPTED	576 (100%)	574 (99%)	576 (100%)	576 (100%)	576 (100%)	576 (100%)
WEIGHTED RMS						
MEAN RESDUAL	-4.2038E-02	4.1731E-02	-4.7060E-02	2.9880E-03	1.7902E-03	6.5442E-05
STANDARD DEV	3.611	3.601	7.5930E-02	0.1253	0.1243	6.6329E-04

OINTERVAL TOTAL NO. NO. ACCEPTED	00-20 210 210 (100%)	20-40 198 198 (100%)	OBSERVAT 40-60 186 186 (100%)	ION SUMMARY : 60-80 180 180 (100%)	8Y 20 DEGREE 80-100 180 180 (100%)	TRUE ANOMAL 100-120 180 180 (100%)	Y INTERVAL 120-140 180 180 (100%)	140-160 180 180 (100%)	160-180 168 166 (
98%) OINTERVAL TOTAL NO. NO. ACCEPTED (100%)	180-200	200-220	220-240	240-260	260-280	280-300	300-320	320-340	340-360
	174	186	204	210	216	204	198	204	198
	174 (100%)	186 (100%)	204 (100%)	210 (100%)	216 (100%)	204 (100%)	198 (100%)	204 (100%)	198

THERE ARE 7 SOLVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER OF DYNAMIC SOLVE PARAMETERS = 7
A H K P Q LAM SOLRA

NUMBER OF STATION LOCATIONS BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

NUMBER OF BLASES BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

NO FILES HAVE BEEN GENERATED

* NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED TO DATE = 0 *

COMPARE DECK FOR GEOSYNCHRONOUS CASE:

CONTROL COMPARE
COMPOPT
CMPEPHEM 1102102 940828170335.0
END
FIN 20771 BS3A

940831170335.0

GEOSYNCHRONOUS COMPARE SUMMARY:

EPHEMERIS COMPARISON SUMMARY REPORT

Number of Points Compared

	м	INIMUM POSITION	DIFFERENCE	M	MAXIMUM POSITION DIFFERENCE			
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)		
RADIAL CROSS TRACK ALONG TRACK TOTAL	940829 940829 940828 940828	111835.000 51835.000 170335.000 170335.000	4.641092E-03 7.078641E-08 1.198785E-03 5.880411E-03	940831 940829 940831 940831	5605.000 222605.000 170335.000 170335.000	8.159907E-03 3.100871E-04 3.501914E-02 3.581756E-02		

	M	INIMUM VELOCITY	DIFFERENCE	M	MAXIMUM VELOCITY DIFFERENCE			
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)		
RADIAL	940828	170335.000	1.860573E-06	940831	170335.000	4.400656E-06		
CROSS TRACK	940831	134835.000	1.779926E-11	940830	181105.000	1.410646E-08		
ALONG TRACK	940831	115605.000	2.699794E-07	940831	10335.000	5.553332E-07		
TOTAL	940828	170335.000	1.901702E-06	940831	170335.000	4.426126E-06		

	POSITION RMS	VELOCITY RMS
	(km)	(km/sec)
RADIAL	6.2625E-03	3.0904E-06
CROSS TRACK	1.6640E-04	9.0059E-09
ALONG TRACK	2.0304E-02	4.1029E-07
TOTAL	2.1249E-02	3.1175E-06
	CULC COMPAND	DDCCDAM

PAGE 16

NORMAL COMPLETION OF JOB

MOLY Test Case

PROCEDURE:

- Start with mean Keplerian elements
 Propagate forward one day using DSST to obtain osculating elements
 Propagate osculating elements forward using Cowell theory to generate truth data

CONTROL	EP	HEM				NSSC	9829
EPOCH				790803.0	234212.0		
ELEMENT1	1	6	1	26556.9582	0.6990986	63.173001	
ELEMENT2				190.619681	281.59624	13.29315	
OUTPUT	1	2	1	790804.0	234212.0	43200.0	
ORBTYPE	5	1	1	43200.0	1.0		
OGOPT							
SPSHPER	5						
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SCPARAM				12.5D-6	1250.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
POTFIELD	1	5					
MAXDEGEQ	1			8.			
MAXORDEQ	1			8.			
END							
FIN							
CONTROL	EP	HEM			OUTPUT	NSSC	9829
OUTPUT	1	2	1	790818.0	234212.0	172800.0	
ORBTYPE	1	1	1	200.0		1.5	
OGOPT							
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SCPARAM				12.5D-6	1250.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
POTFIELD	1	6					
MAXDEGEQ	1			21.			
MAXORDEQ	1			21.			
OUTOPT	1			790804234212.0	790818234212.0	450.	
END							
FIN							

COWELL EPHEMERIS OUTPUT SUMMARY:

GTDS FINAL REPORT

PAGE 2

				SATELLITE	E NAMI	Ξ		ı	ISSC								
				SATELLITE	E NUMI	BER				98				_			
				RUN REFER	RENCE	DATE		,	UG	- •	1979		HRS	-	MINS		SECONDS
				RUN EPOCH	H DATI	E			UG	4,	1979		HRS		RINS		SECONDS
				RUN FINAL	L TIME	E		I	\UG	18,	1979		HRS	-	MINS		SECONDS
				TOTAL TIM	ME OF	FLIG	HT				4 DAYS		HRS	-	MINS	0.0000	SECONDS
				CAUSE OF				5	PECI	FIE	D TIME	OF F	LIGHT	REAC	HED		
							**	*END	CONI	ITI	ONS***						
	CENTE	RAL BODY	TC FAD	TH (INE	RTIAL	SYST	EM)						MEAN	OF 1	950.0	EARTH EQU	JATOR
	X			92548E+05				-0.111	19942	2652	170050	E+05			Z	0.17746656	7145402E+05
	VX			75577E+00							617677				vz	0.36193153	25579901E+01
	VX	0.8606	J/4343/	/55//6400			••	0.1.									
		0.0055		67468E+05			ECC	0 698	3685	5599	650172	E+00			INC	0.63195956	30208168E+02
	SMA						AP				830572				MA	0.455042439	97783793E+02
	LAN			33530E+03			P				041661				SLR		32213544E+05
	EA			06621E+02							031836				PH		7031005E+04
	PR			31005E+04			APR								TA		11544984E+03
	APH	0.3873	3522830	31836E+05			C3	-0.75	J46/1	PTPD	332438	E+01			14	0.13034040	113113012.03
											614617	B . 02			VPA	0 45770457	21988872E+02
	RA			94159E+03			DEC								VMAG		07374211E+01
	ΑŻ	0.3967	2616391	.70771E+02			RMAG	0.25	0/11.	2942	736071	B+U5			ALIMO	0.403/3422	0/3/42115+01
	CENT	RAL BODY	IS EAR	TH (INE	RTIAL	SYST	***IN	TIAL	CON	DITI	ONS***		MEAN	OF 1	.950.0	EARTH EQ	
	X			62453E+05			Y	-0.30	2762	5662	738115	E+04			Z	0.14154775	35845710E+04
	vx			21247E+01			VY	-0.32	7707	1176	695446	E+01			vz	0.54777759	85267707E+01
	SMA	0.2657	2175914	71070E+05			ECC	0.69	9189	4044	193557	E+00			INC	0.63177485	16631303E+02
	LAN			31476E+03			AP	0.28	1607	5013	505303	E+03			MA	0.15428835	06600653E+02
	EA			00351E+02			P	0.11	9742	7310	311930	E+02			SLR	0.13581947	26056090E+05
	PR.			77778E+04			APR	0.45	1511	5976	664363	E+05			PH	0.16150540	62777778E+04
	APH			64363E+05			C3	-0.75	0033	4960	889100	E+01			TA	0.85471045	10736438E+02
7. The	*****	0.50					•										
	RA	0 1936	8937564	138893E+03			DEC	0.63	1366	8242	350110	E+01			VPA	0.56553725	45863263E+02
	AZ			05448E+02			RMAG	0.12	8713	2143	345407	E+05			VMAG	0.68509518	36531340E+01
	A.L	0.2033	J450450	,051102.02													
							***	SECTIO	NING	SUM	MARY *	**					
				NUMBER O	F SEC	TIONS	SCHED	ULED =		1							
				NUMBER O	F SEC	TIONS	COMPL	ETED =		1							
SECTION	CENTR	AL BODY	TIME	E OF CROSS	ING				T	IME	INTO E	LIGHT	r	CAU	JSE OF	CROSSING	
22011011					H	М	s			H	M	s					
1	E	ARTH	AUG	18	23	42	12.000		3	36	0	0.000)	SPI	ECIFIE	D TIME OF FL	IGHT REACHED
-	_																

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

AN ORB-1 FILE HAS BEEN GENERATED
START TIME OF THE FILE = 790804234212.000
END TIME OF THE FILE = 790818234212.000

*** NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED# 1

MOLNIYA OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

					,,	NSSC	9829
CONTROL OGOPT	D	'AAT	KGT		•	Nooc	3023
POTFIELD END	1	6					
FIN							
CONTROL	D	2				NSSC	9829
EPOCH				790804.0	234212.0		
ELEMENT1	1	6	1	26572.176	0.699	63.2	
ELEMENT2				190.5	281.6	15.429	
OBSINPUT	15			790804234212.0	790807234212.0		
ORBTYPE		1	1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT					•		
OBSDEV	21	22	23	100.	100.	100.	
OBSDEV	24	25	26	10.	10.	10.	
END							
OGOPT							
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SPDRAG	0						
SCPARAM				12.5D-6	1250.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SPSRP	0						
SPGRVFRC	1	1	3	1.	1.	3.	
SPZONALS	4	3	8				
SPMDAILY	4	4	2				
MAXDEGEQ				8.			
MAXORDEQ				8.			
STATEPAR							
STATETAB			3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
DRAGPAR							
DRAGPAR2		1					
SOLRDPAR							
SSTESTFL				0.0			
SSTAPGFL	1	0	0	1.0	0.0	1.0	
END							
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT			4				
CONVERG	30		1	1.D-3			
END FIN							
CONTROL		PHE			OUTPUT	NSSC	9829
CONTROL		LUEI	",	700010 0	234212.0	172800.0	
ORBTYPE		1	1	790818.0 43200.0	1.0	1,2000.0	
OGOPT	,	_		43200.0	2.0.	**	
DRAGPAR	0						
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN			1				
SPDRAG	0		-				
SCPARAM	•			12.5D-6	1250.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SPSRP	0						
SOLRDPAR							
SPGRVFRC		1	3	1.	1.	3.	
SPZONALS	4	3	8				
SPMDAILY	4	4	2				
MAXDEGEQ	1			8.			
MAXORDEQ	1			8.			
OUTOPT	21			790804234212.0	790818234212.0	450.	
END							
FIN							

ITERATION NUMBER 4

SATELLITE	NSSC 9	* POSITION ERROR RMS (M) 114.82174 * 9 * CURRENT WEIGHTED RMS 0.45742789 * * PREDICTED WEIGHTED RMS 0.51345696 *	START TIME 790804 234212.000
EPOCH	790804 234212.		END TIME 790807 233442.000
COORD. SYSTEM	MEAN OF 1950.0	* RELATIVE CHANGE IN RMS 0.10918550 * * PENALTY 0.00000000 *	NO. OBS. AVAILABLE 3456
CENTRAL BODY	EARTH	* DC HAS CONVERGED *	NO. OBS. INCLUDED 3456
		**********	NO. OBS. ACCEPTED 3382 97 PCT

OBSERVATION SUMMARY BY TYPE

TYPE	x		Y	Z	XDOT	IDOI	2001	
TOTAL NO.	576		576	576	576	576	576	
NO. ACCEPTED	502 (87%)	576 (100%)	576 (100%)	576 (100%)	576 (100%)	576 (100%)	
WEIGHTED RMS	-		0.4468	0.5014	0.1340	9.2191E-02	0.1024	
MEAN RESDUAL			-5.572	19.20	-0.2010	-1.0291E-02	-3.9840E-02	
STANDARD DEV			44.34	46.32	1.325	0.9219	1.023	
·	••••							
				OBSERVA	TION SUMMARY	BY 20 DEGREE	TRUE ANOMALY	INTER

								. •	
			OBSERVAT	ION SUMMARY	BY 20 DEGREE	TRUE ANOMAL	Y INTERVAL		
OINTERVAL	00-20	20-40	40-60	60-80	80-100	100-120	120-140	140-160	160-180
TOTAL NO.	24	24	30	48	84	120	240	450	708
NO. ACCEPTED		24 (100%)	30 (100%)	48 (100%)	84 (100%)	120 (100%)	240 (100%)	448 (99%)	706 (
99%)						222	300-320	320-340	340-360
OINTERVAL	180-200	200-220	220-240	240-260	260-280	280-300			
TOTAL NO.	714	450	234	120	72	48	36	24	30
NO. ACCEPTED		425 (94%)	234 (100%)	120 (100%)	72 (100%)	48 (100%)	36 (100%)	24 (100%)	30
(100%)									

THERE ARE 8 SOLVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER OF DYNAMIC SOLVE PARAMETERS = 8 A H K P Q LAM CSUED SOLRAD

NUMBER OF STATION LOCATIONS BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

NUMBER OF BIASES BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

NO FILES HAVE BEEN GENERATED

* NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED TO DATE = 0 *

COMPARE DECK FOR MOLNIYA CASE:

CONTROL COMPARE
COMPOPT
CMPEPHEM 1102102 790807234212.0
END
FIN NSSC 9829

790810234212.0 7.5

MOLNIYA COMPARE SUMMARY:

EPHEMERIS COMPARISON SUMMARY REPORT

Number of Points Compared

577

	M:	INIMUM POSITION	DIFFERENCE	MAXIMUM POSITION DIFFERENCE			
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)	
RADIAL CROSS TRACK ALONG TRACK TOTAL	790810 790808 790810 790808	104942.000 82712.000 201212.000 121942.000	4.686057E-04 5.364643E-06 1.358405E-03 3.791407E-02	790810 790810 790810 790810	220442.000 45712.000 224212.000 224212.000	3.501438E-01 5.219956E-02 7.213969E-01 7.334274E-01	
	м	INIMUM VELOCITY	м	MAXIMUM VELOCITY DIFFERENCE			
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)	

	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)
RADIAL	790808	200442,000	1.490001E-09	790810	224942.000	4.816413E-04
CROSS TRACK	790808	44942.000	1.377708E-09	790810	224212.000	1.892690E-05
ALONG TRACK	790810	81212.000	1.646474B-08	790808	224942.000	5.052250E-05
TOTAL	790808	131942.000	1.893925E-06	790810	224942.000	4.820318E-04

POSITION RMS VELOCITY RMS (km/sec) m/sec) (km/sec) (km/sec) (km/sec)					
RADIAL 1.2727E-01 7.3599E-05 CROSS TRACK 2.2510E-02 3.4218E-06 ALONG TRACK 1.7720E-01 1.3041E-05 TOTAL 2.1933E-01 7.4824E-05		POSITION RMS	VELOCITY RMS		
CROSS TRACK 2.2510E-02 3.4218E-06 ALONG TRACK 1.7720E-01 1.3041E-05 TOTAL 2.1933E-01 7.4824E-05		(km)	(km/sec)		
ALONG TRACK 1.7720E-01 1.3041E-05 TOTAL 2.1933E-01 7.4824E-05	RADIAL	1.2727E-01	7.3599E-05		
TOTAL 2.1933E-01 7.4824E-05	CROSS TRACK	2.2510E-02	3.4218E-06		
73.07 16	ALONG TRACK	1.7720E-01	1.3041E-05		
GTDS COMPARE PROGRAM PAGE 16	TOTAL	2.1933E-01	7.4824E-05		
		GTDS COMPARE PROGRA	AM	PAGE	16

NORMAL COMPLETION OF JOB

NEEC Test Case

PROCEDURE:

- Start with 2-card element set
 Propagate 2-card element set forward using SGP4 to obtain SGP4-based ephemeris
 Pit Cowell theory to SGP4-based ephemeris
 Propagate Cowell DC solve-for vector forward to produce truth data

CONTROL	E	HEM	t			VANGARD2	59001A
EPOCH				940826.0	073513.6735		
ELEMENT1	8	18	1	11.73921485	0.1522640	32.8834	
ELEMENT2				251.8592	10.8368	352.1515	
ELEMENT3				-0.0000000	0.000000	0.000154	
OUTPUT	1	2	1	940905.0	073514.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	14	1	8	1.0			
OGOPT							
POTFIELD	1	7					
OUTOPT	1			940826073514.0	940905073514.0	450.0	
END							
FIN							
FIN							

SGP4 EPHEMERIS OUTPUT SUMMARY:

GTDS FINAL REPORT

PAGE 13

VANGARD2		
59001		
AUG 26, 1994	O HRS O MINS	0.00000 SECONDS
AUG 26, 1994	7 HRS 35 MINS	13.67350 SECONDS
SEPT 5, 1994	7 HRS 35 MINS	14.00000 SECONDS
10 DAYS	0 HRS 0 MINS	0.32650 SECONDS
SPECIFIED TIME OF	FLIGHT REACHED	
	59001 AUG 26, 1994 AUG 26, 1994 SEPT 5, 1994 10 DAYS	59001 AUG 26, 1994 0 HRS 0 MINS AUG 26, 1994 7 HRS 35 MINS SEPT 5, 1994 7 HRS 35 MINS

		*	**END CONDITIONS***	
CENT	RAL BODY IS EARTH (INERTIAL SY	STEM)		MEAN OF 1950.0 EARTH EQUATOR
x	0.4226905530387705E+04	Y	0.7668401667445838E+04	Z -0.2551272994307648E+04
vx	-0.4861278344213523E+01	VY	0.2411752650711627E+01	VZ -0.3040089571074028E+01
SMA	0.8181674036773987E+04	ECC	0.1520921345305507E+00	INC 0.3288983668642646E+02
LAN	0.2150862746053120E+03	AP	0.6636140824147962E+02	MA 0.1332440193797609E+03
EA	0.1389650806767234E+03	P	0.2045839426995563E+01	SLR 0.7992415410708262E+04
PR	0.6937305768487844E+04	APR	0.9426042305060129E+04	PH 0.5591707684878438E+03
APH	0.3047907305060129E+04	C3	-0.2435936693446952E+02	TA 0.1444016201663212E+03
RA	0.6164386322942167E+02	DEC	-0.1612604086662168E+02	VPA 0.8423117145710005E+02
AZ	0.1190602065290431E+03	RMAG	0.9120312967737114E+04	VMAG 0.6220186707225738E+01

		INI	TIAL CONDITIONS		
CE	ENTRAL BODY IS EARTH (INERTIAL	SYSTEM)		"NORAD" TRUE OF	REF EARTH EQUATOR
х	-0.2158590909184290E+04	Y	-0.6607917004462769B+04	Z	0.3943505275263057E+01
vx	0.6544250260129505E+01	VY	-0.1923627551859584E+01	vz	0.4407890047245081E+01
SM	IA 0.8181027891766687E+04	ECC	0.1522639999999998E+00	INC	0.328833999999999E+02
LA	N 0.251859199999999E+03	AP	0.1083680000000000E+02	MA	0.3521514999999999E+03
EA	0.3507490211595139E+03	₽	0.2045597077540084E+01	SLR	0.7991356276595909E+04
PR	0.6935351860854726E+04	APR	0.9426703922678649E+04	PH	0.5572168608547254E+03
AP	PH 0.3048568922678649E+04	C3	-0.2436129085937650E+02	TA	0.3492230657582670E+03
RA	0.2519094739042656E+03	DEC	0.3250298192318978E-01	VPA	0.9141873484191045E+02
ΑZ	0.5711661425979332E+02	RMAG	0.6951553596306017E+04	VMAG	0.8121394528902080E+01

*** SECTIONING SUMMARY ***

NUMBER OF SECTIONS SCHEDULED =

NUMBER OF SECTIONS COMPLETED =

CAUSE OF CROSSING TIME INTO FLIGHT SECTION CENTRAL BODY TIME OF CROSSING H 240 M O 0.326 SPECIFIED TIME OF FLIGHT REACHED EARTH SEPT 5 35 14.000

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

AN ORB-1 FILE HAS BEEN GENERATED
START TIME OF THE FILE = 940826073514.000
END TIME OF THE FILE = 940905073514.000

*** NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED=

COWELL DC & EPHEM DECK (FIT TO SGP4-BASED EPHEMERIS) TO GENERATE TRUTH DATA:

CONTROL	DC					VANGARD2	59001A
EPOCH	DC			940826.0	073513.6735		
ELEMENT1	1	,	1	8183.787	0.153069	32.7	
ELEMENT2	-	•	-	251.2	11.1	352.022	
OBSINPUT	15			940826073514.0	940831073514.0		
ORBTYPE	2	1	1	60.0			
DMOPT	-	-	-				
OBSDEV	21	22	23	500.0	500.0	500.0	
OBSDEV	24			50.0	50.0	50.0	
END				2011			
DCOPT							
PRINTOUT	1		4				
CONVERG	30		1	1.D-3			
END	50		-	2.2 5			
OGOPT							
DRAG	1			1.0			
ATMOSDEN	_		1	2.0			
SCPARAM			-	1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
POTFIELD		2					
MAXDEGEO		-		21.0			
MAXORDEO				21.0			
STATEPAR							
STATETAB		2	3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
DRAGPAR	ī	-	_				
SOLRDPAR	_						
END	_						
FIN							
CONTROL	EI	HE	М		OUTPUT	VANGARD2	59001A
OUTPUT	1	2		940905.0	073514.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE	2	1		-			
OGOPT	_	_	_				
DRAG	1			1.0			
DRAGPAR	ō						
ATMOSDEN			1				
SCPARAM				1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRAD	1			1.0			
SOLRDPAR					·		
POTFIELD		2					
MAXDEGEO	1			21.0			
MAXORDEC				21.0			
OUTOPT	21			940826073514.0	940905073514.0	450.0	
END							
FIN							

COWELL EPHEMERIS OUTPUT SUMMARY:

ITERATION NUMBER 6

					**	******	****	*****	****	******	****	*****	***							
						POSIT	ION E	RROR RM	IS (M)	960	.5696	2	*							
SATELLITE		VANGAL	RD2	59001	*	CURRI	NT WE	IGHTED	RMS	1.1	104160	10	*	STAR	T TI	ME	940826	742	244.00	10
					*	PRED	CTED	WEIGHTE	ED RMS	1.1	106622	2	*							
EPOCH		940826	5 735	13.674		PREV:	OUS W	EIGHTEI	RMS	1.1	L07231	.0	*	END	TIME		940831	735	14.00	0
D1 0011						SMALI	EST W	EIGHTE	RMS	1.3	107231	.0	*							
COORD, SYS	STEM	MEAN (OF 195	0.0	*	RELA'	TIVE C	HANGE :	IN RMS	2.77	735445	6E-03	*	NO.	OBS.	AVA	ILABLE	576	50	
COOKD. DI	3 1 24.				*	PENAI	TY			0.00	00000	0	*							
CENTRAL BO	אחע	EARTH			*	DC H	S CON	IVERGED					*	NO.	OBS.	INC	LUDED	576	50	
CENTRAL BO	JD 1																			
					**	*****	****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	***	NO.	OBS.	ACC	EPTED	5757	99 PC	Т
							ď	BSERVA'	rion s	SUMMARY	BY T	PE								
TYPE	x		Y		z		XDO	T	YDO	т	ZDO	T								
TOTAL NO.	960		960		960		960		960		960									
NO. ACCEPTED		(100%)	960	(100%)	960	(100%)	960	(100%)	960	(100%)	957	(99%)								
WEIGHTED RMS			1.12	27	1.44	4	0.397	73	0.749	4	1.70	6								
MEAN RESDUAL			472		327.	2	6.77	70	9.99	54	54.1	.1								
STANDARD DEV			307		643.	. 5	18.6	58	36.3	12	65.9	94								
					-			BOUNDY 1	חר עם	חסכססס	morre	ANOMAL	V TNPP	FDNAT						
		_					60-8		80-:		100-2		120-			40-1	60	160	-180	
OINTERVAL	00-2	20	20-4	10	40-6	.0		50	312	100	348	120	378	140	_	408		43		
TOTAL NO.	240		234		264		282	((****		(100E)		/ 7 0 0			(100%)		_	
NO. ACCEPTED	240	(100¥)	234	(100%)	264	(100%)	282	(100%)	312	(1004)	348	(100%)	3/6	(100	14.)	400	(1004)	43.	2	
(100%)													200		_			240	-360	
0INTERVAL	180-2	200	200-	220	220-2	240	240-	260	260-2	280	280-3	500	300-	320	-	20-3	40	22		
TOTAL NO.	426		408		384		342		300		276		252			246	/a o o b :			
NO. ACCEPTED (100%)	426	(100%)	408	(100%)	384	(100%)	339	(99%)	300	(100%)	276	(100%)	252	(100	18)	246	(100%)	22	В	

THERE ARE 8 SOLVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER OF DYNAMIC SOLVE PARAMETERS = 8
X Y Z VX VY VZ RHO1 SOLRAD

NUMBER OF STATION LOCATIONS BEING SOLVED FOR - 0

NUMBER OF BIASES BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

NO FILES HAVE BEEN GENERATED

* NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED TO DATE = 6 *

NEEC OPTIMIZED INPUT DECK

CONTROL DC		•	VANGARD2	59001A
	940826.0	073513.6735	***************************************	
EPOCH ELEMENT1 3 6 1	8177.913	0.1522640	32.8834	
	251.8592	10.8368	352.1515	
PDB/IBN I Z	940826073514.0	940829073514.0	55212505	
OBSINPUT 15		1.0		
ORBTYPE 5 1 1	43200.0	1.0		
DMOPT OBSDEV 21 22 23	100	100.	100.	
		10.	10.	
OBSDEV 24 25 26	10.	10.	20.	
END				
DCOPT				
PRINTOUT 1 4	1.D-4			
CONVERG 30 1 END	1.5-4			
OGOPT				
DRAG 1	1.0			
ATMOSDEN 1	1.0			
SPDRAG 0				
SCPARAM	1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRAD 1	1.0			
SPSRP 0	1.0			
SPGRVFRC 1 1 3	3.0	1.0	3.0	
SPZONALS 6 5 13	3.0			
SPMDAILY 6 6 4				
POTFIELD 1 2				
MAXDEGEQ 1	21.			
MAXORDEQ 1	21.			
RESONPRD	86400.0			
STATEPAR 3	50400.0			
STATETAB 1 2 3	4.0	5.0	6.0	
DRAGPAR 1	4.0	3.0		
DRAGPAR 1 DRAGPAR2 1 1				
SOLRDPAR 1				
SSTESTFL 1 2 0	0.0			
	1.0	0.0	1.0	
END	1.0			
FIN				
CONTROL EPHEM		OUTPUT	VANGARD2	59001A
OUTPUT 1 2 1	940905.	073514.0	86400.0	
ORBTYPE 5 1 1		1.0		
OGOPT				
DRAGPAR 0				
DRAG 1	1.0			
ATMOSDEN 1				
SPDRAG 0				
SCPARAM	1.D-6	100.D0		
SOLRAD 1	1.0			
SPSRP 0				
SOLRDPAR 0			•	
SPGRVFRC 1 1 3	3.0	1.0	3.0	
SPZONALS 6 5 13				
SPMDAILY 6 6 4				
POTFIELD 1 2				
MAXDEGEQ 1	21.			
MAXORDEQ 1	21.			
RESONPRD	86400.0			
OUTOPT 21	940826073514.0	940905073514.0	450.0	
END	*			
FIN				

ITERATION NUMBER 6

		*****************	*******	·
		* POSITION ERROR RMS (M)	69.419240 *	
SATELLITE	VANGARD2 59001	 CURRENT WEIGHTED RMS 	0.37449436 *	START TIME 940826 74244.000
		* PREDICTED WEIGHTED RMS	0.37647487 *	
EPOCH	940826 73513.674	* PREVIOUS WEIGHTED RMS	0.37650718 *	END TIME 940829 73514.000
		* SMALLEST WEIGHTED RMS	0.37650718 *	
COORD. SYSTEM	MEAN OF 1950.0	* RELATIVE CHANGE IN RMS	5.34604546E-03 *	NO. OBS. AVAILABLE 3456
		* PENALTY	0.00000000 *	
CENTRAL BODY	EARTH	 DC HAS CONVERGED 	*	NO. OBS. INCLUDED 3456
		•	*	
		******	*************	NO. OBS. ACCEPTED 3443 99 PCT

OBSERVATION SUMMARY BY TYPE

TYPE	X		Y		Z	XDOT	1001	2001		
TOTAL NO.	576		576		576	576	576	576		
NO. ACCEPTED	568 (98%)	572 (99%)	576 (100%)	576 (100%)	575 (99%)	576 (100%)		
WEIGHTED RMS	0.4409		0.3934		0.3666	0.3263	0.3209	0.3134		
MEAN RESDUAL-	0.8460		-1.781		2.432	-4.2900E-02	3.5866E-02	-0.2093		
STANDARD DEV	44.08		39.30		36.58	3.263	3.209	3.127		
					OBSERVA:	TION SUMMARY	BY 20 DEGREE	TRUE ANOMAL	Y INTERVAL	
OINTERVAL	00-20		20-40		40-60	60-80	80-100	100-120	120-140	14

| OBSERVATION | SUMMARY BY 20 DEGREE | TRUE | ANOMALY INTERVAL | TOTAL NO. | 150 | 144 | 156 | 168 | 198 | 204 | 222 | 246 | 252 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 251 | 2

THERE ARE 8 SOLVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER OF STATION LOCATIONS BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

NUMBER OF BIASES BEING SOLVED FOR = 0

*** FILE GENERATION SUMMARY ***

NO FILES HAVE BEEN GENERATED

* NUMBER OF GTDS ERRORS ENCOUNTERED TO DATE = 0 *

COMPARE DECK FOR NEEC:

VANGARD2 59001A

CONTROL COMPARE
COMPOPT
CMPEPHEM 1102102 940829073514.0
END
FIN

940901073514.0

7.5

NEEC COMPARE SUMMARY:

EPHEMERIS COMPARISON SUMMARY REPORT

Number of Points Compared

577

	M:	INIMUM POSITION	DIFFERENCE	MAXIMUM POSITION DIFFERENCE				
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km)		
RADIAL CROSS TRACK ALONG TRACK TOTAL	940829 940829 940829 940831	155014.000 84244.000 95014.000 164244.000	4.082393E-06 6.979701E-05 9.159481E-06 1.110800E-02	940901 940831 940831 940831	43514.000 130514.000 194244.000 194244.000	1.103580E-01 2.553895E-01 4.969624E-01 5.081243E-01		

	M	INIMUM VELOCITY	DIFFERENCE	M	MAXIMUM VELOCITY DIFFERENCE				
	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)	YYMMDD	HHMMSS.SSS	(km/sec)			
RADIAL	940829	115014.000	3.964064E-08	940901	42744.000	3.010421E-04			
CROSS TRACK	940830	42014.000	1.722035E-07	940901	25014.000	2.334248E-04			
ALONG TRACK	940830	145014.000	3.795844E-07	940831	190514.000	1.350620E-04			
TOTAL	940829	150514.000	3.683908E-06	940831	195744.000	3.353047E-04			

	POSITION RMS	VELOCITY RMS
	(km)	(km/sec)
RADIAL	5.1535E-02	1.2705E-04
CROSS TRACK	1.0831E-01	9.0419E-05
ALONG TRACK	1.8288E-01	5.1923E-05
TOTAL	2.1871E-01	1.6436E-04
	GTDS COMPARE	PROGRAM

PAGE 16

NORMAL COMPLETION OF JOB

DISTRIBUTION LIST

AUL/LSE Bldg 1405 - 600 Chennault Circle Maxwell AFB, AL 36112-6424	1 cy
DTIC/OCC	
Cameron Station	2 222
Alexandria, VA 22304-6145	2 cys
AFSAA/SAI	
1580 Air Force Pentagon	
Washington, DC 20330-1580	1 cy
DI (CI II	
PL/SUL	2 ava
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117-5776	2 cys
PL/HO	
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117-5776	1 cy
Official Record Copy	
PL/VTPT/Brian Whitney	ega in the grade of the control 2 cys
Dr. R. V. Wick	1 cy
PL/VT	
Kirtland, AFB, NM 87117-5776	
Professor Beny Neta	
Code MA/Nd Nevel Postereducto School	
Naval Postgraduate School	1 cy
Monterey, CA 93943	1 Cy
Dr. Paul Cefola	2 cy
The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory	
555 Technology Square	·
Cambridge, MA 02139	
Dr. Ron Proulx	
The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory	1 cy
555 Technology Square	1 63
Combridge MA 02120	

Mr. Rick Metzinger The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory 555 Technology Square Cambridge, MA 02139	1 cy
Mr. Wayne McClain The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory 555 Technology Square Cambridge, MA 02139	1 cy
Mr. Leo Early Microcosm Inc., Suite 230 Rolling Hills Office Plaza 2601 Airport Drive Torrance, CA 90505	1 cy
Dr. Shannon Coffey Code 8233 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375-5355	1 cy
Mr. Steve Casali Kaman Sciences Corporation P. O. Box 7463 Colorado Springs, CO 80933-7463	2 cy
Dr. Chia-Chun (George) Chao P. O. Box 92957-M4/946 Los Angeles, CA 90009-2957	1 cy
Dr. Stephen H. Knowles U.S. Naval Space Command Code 63T Dahlgren, VA 22448-5170	1 cy
Dr. Paul Schumacher U.S. Naval Space Command Code 63T Dahlgren, VA 22448-5170	1 cy

1 cy James R. Wright 305 Exton Commons Exton, PA 19341 Dr. Dave Carter The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory 555 Technology Square Cambridge, MA 02139 2 cy = W. Spencer Campbell The Aerospace Corp. 2350 E. El Segundo El Segundo, CA 90245-4691 1 cy Dr Ahmed H. Salama Jet Propulsion Lab 4800 Oak Grove Drive

Pasadena, CA 91109-8099